1	CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT STATE OF FLORIDA IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY PROBATE DIVISION CASE NO. 90-2908-GD3
4 -	IN RE: THE GUARDIANSHIP OF
5	THERESA MARIE SCHÌAVO,
6	Incapacitated.
7	MICHAEL SCHIAVO, AS GUARDIAN OF THE
8	Petitioner,
9	APPEAL O
10	ROBERT SCHINDLER AND MARY SCHINDLER,
11 -	Respondents.
12	
13	BEFORE: GEORGE W. GREER Circuit Court Judge
14 15	PLACE: Clearwater Courthouse Clearwater, FL 33756
16	DATE: January 26, 2000
17	TIME: 4:00 p.m.
18	REPORTED BY: Beth Ann Erickson, RPR
19	Court Reporter Notary Public
20	TRIAL
21	
22	ROBERT A. DEMPSTER & ASSOCIATES
23	501 South Fort Harrison Clearwater, Florida 33756
24	(813) 464-4858 Volume V Pages 680 - 839
25	
	ORIGINAL

ł

		C 0 1
1	APPEARANCES :	681
2	GEORGE J. FELOS, ESQUIRE CONSTANCE FELOS, ESQUIRE	
3	640 Douglas Avenue Dunedin, FL 34698	
4	Attorneys for Petitioner	1
5	PAMELA CAMPBELL, ESQUIRE	
6	The Alexander Building 535 Central Avenue	:
7	Suite 403 St. Petersburg, FL 33701	
8	Attorney for Respondents	
9	INDEX	
	Page	
10	WITNESS	
11	RICHARD PEARSE Direct Examination by Ms. Campbell 682	
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Felos 702	
13	Redirect Examination by Ms. Campbell 749 Recross-Examination by Mr. Felos 755	
14	Further Redirect Examination 756 Further Recross-Examination 757	
15	DIANE MEYER	
16	Direct Examination by Ms. Campbell 762 Cross-Examination by Mr. Felos 774	34
17	Redirect Examination by Ms. Campbell 792 Recross-Examination by Mr. Felos 795	
18	JACKIE RHODES	
19	Direct Examination by Ms. Campbell 799 Cross-Examination by Mr. Felos 817	
20	Redirect Examination by Ms. Campbell 830	
21	Respondents Rest 833	
22	EXHIBITS Page	
23	Petitioner's Exhibit 8 833	
24		
25		
a na shi a ta shi a t		· · · ·

		-682
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S	-00#
2	THE COURT: Call your next witness.	
3	MS. CAMPBELL: I'd Like to call Mr.	
4	Richard Pearse to the stand.	
5	THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Pearse.	
6	(THEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS SWORN ON OATH BY	
7	THE COURT.)	
8	THE COURT: Thank you. Have a seat	
9	over here in the witness stand.	
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
11	BY MS. CAMPBELL:	
12	Q Good afternoon. It's a little unusual	
13	in this setting. Please state your full name for	
14	the record.	
15	A My name is Richard Pearse, Jr.	ļ.
16	Q Where do you live?	
17	A I live in Palm Harbor. 1449 Whitterton	
18	Way.	
19	Q What is your occupation?	
20	A Lawyer.	
21	Q How long have you been a lawyer? «	
22	A I was admitted to the Florida Bar in	
23	'79, so that makes it a little over twenty years.	
24	Q Where do you practice?	
25	A· In Clearwater, Florida.	

Q What is the nature of your practice? A It's a civil practice which focuses primarily in the areas of guardianship, probate, and general litigation. Probative administration.

Q Have you practiced in that area the entire twenty years?

A I would say in part or in whole, yes. I have had other practice areas in the past.

Q Do you know Theresa Schiavo?

A It's hard to say that I know Theresa, but I have seen Theresa. I'm acquainted with the general circumstances of her present condition.

Q How are you acquainted with Theresa Schiavo?

A I was appointed in June of 1998 by Circuit Judge Howard Rives as Theresa Schiavo's guardian ad litem.

Q Have you ever been appointed as guardian ad litem in other cases?

A I have.

1

2

3

4

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Approximately how many?

A Maybe half a dozen.

Q Have you ever been appointed as guardian ad litem concerning the petition for removal of a feeding tube?

I believe there may have been one other Α 1 case where the issue was whether life support 2 should be removed or withdrawn. I don't recall ् 3 the exact nature of the petition, but the case in 4 which I was appointed guardian ad litem had the 5 same basic issues involved. 6 How was that case resolved? 7 0 My recollection is that in that case the A 8 petition, or by whatever mechanism that the 9 petitioner sought removal of the feeding tube, was 10 11 denied. Do you recall in that case did you make 12 0 an opinion and recommendation for the Court? 13 I recall being at the hearing. I don't 14 recall whether I did a written report. I don't 15 recall the specific details. I'm sorry. 16 What is your understanding of the roll 0 17 that you were to review as being guardian ad litem 18 in this case? 4 19 Well, the order appointing me says I A 20 shall make such inquiry as I deem necessary and 21 file a written report and recommendations to the 22 Court. At a subsequent status conference, I 23 received some additional instructions. Some 24 specific instruction from Judge Boyer, who was the 25

presiding judge in this case at that time.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Q What is your, the further instruction? Did that expand or narrow the limit of your investigation as initially thought?

A Well, since the scope of the authority in terms of the investigation originally granted was virtually unlimited, it narrowed it in the sense that Judge Boyer provided me with direction about what he would like to see in the report.

Q Was it your understanding or did you take on this responsibility with the understanding was that of primarily around the issue of the removal of the feeding tube?

A That if that was not my understanding at the very outset, it very shortly became my understanding that that was the principal issue presented in this case.

Q Please tell us how you conducted your investigation.

A After I received the order appointing me, of course I filed an oath as required by the Florida Probate Rules. I proceeded to make contact with the lawyers involved. I spoke with staff at the nursing home where Theresa lived at the time and I believe still resides. I conferred

with Mr. and Mrs. Schindler, her parents. I conferred with Mr. Michael Schiavo, her husband. I conferred with her brother. There may have been others with whom I spoke.

1

2

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

After I interviewed Mr. and Mrs. Schindler and Mr. Schiavo, it came to my attention that Theresa was or had been of the Roman Catholic faith and there was at least some evidence that she was a practicing Catholic. So part of my investigation included making contact with the St. Petersburg Diocese.to seek guidance about whether there was -- there was any Catholic doctrine which might have a bearing on the issue before the Court today.

Q Were you able to determine whether or not there was any Catholic doctrine set forth that would have a bearing on this decision?

A Well, there is. The answer is yes. There is a statement which I understood to be promulgated by the, for lack of a better term, the Florida Counsel of Catholic Bishops, which I think have a bearing on any situation like this where life prolonging procedures are in place. But in effect, it did not compel a resolution, again, as I understand the doctrine, one way or the other in

this case.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14.

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The doctrine was situational and had to do with analysis of the burdens placed on the individual -- of the life -- with the artificial life prolonging procedures in place. After talking with several representatives -- or I guess I should not say several -- two representatives of the Diocese, and reviewing some written materials I was furnished, I concluded that there was no doctrine of the church that I had been made aware of which would ethically or morally preclude the withdrawl of the life prolonging procedures in this case.

Q Were there any other individuals that you spoke with concerning Theresa's intent?

A Well, I spoke with Michael Schiavo, her husband, and her parents and sister and brother, I believe. It's possible I spoke with one or more of her friends, whose names I was given by members of the family, but I don't recall specifically details of those conversations.

My impression at the time of my undertaking of the investigation at the time I filed my report, the only individual who indicated that Theresa had spoken directly about this

subject was her husband, Michael.

Q Did you review the court file in this case?

1

2

3

4

S.

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25 A 🦾 I believe I did. Yes.

Q Did you review the medical records for Theresa Schiavo at Palm Gardens of Largo?

A I did review the nursing home chart. It contained not only a record of her stay there, but also contained some historical record of treatment or evaluation that had taken place prior to her admission there, as well as the results of some basic, I guess you call them consultations related to, it appeared to relate to litigation, but I was not certain about that.

Q Could you please describe the charts that you reviewed? What I'm asking is, did you specifically review the chart that would be kept at the nurse's station near her room?

A As far as I know, there was only one medical record at the nursing home. That was the one that I reviewed. It contained a number of different sections. It contained a face sheet with personal information about her. It contained information that existed at the time of her admission. It contained sections for doctors',

notes. Doctors! orders. I believe it contained a section for nursing notes or notes from, you know, other health care disciplines.

1

2

3 4

5

6

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25 I'm quite sure there were, you know, charts of her vital signs. Temperature, things of this nature. There were, I believe, records of medications that had been administered to her, although I could not tell you today what the specifics of those matters were all about.

Q Do you recall the files you reviewed, were they in paper manilla files like the one here or were they blue, heavy plastic files?

A They were not that manilla folder there. This is what I regard as a typical patient chart in a nursing facility such as Palm Garden. It was in a binder of sorts, bound at the top. I think it was blue. It may have been another color. It was structured in a similar fashion to a standard 3-ring binder.

Q Do you recall a note on the front of the chart providing instructions as to who staff would be able to provide information for or not, one way or the other?

A I don't recall whether -- I don't have sufficient independent recollection of the details

of the pieces of paper that I saw and examined except to describe them in fairly generic terms as I have done. Although, certainly it came to my attention during the course of my investigation that there had been ongoing conflict between Mr. Schiavo and Mr. and Mrs. Schindler about access to medical information. Q Did you see Theresa Schiavo?

A I did.

1

2

-3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q How long of a time period? On how many occasions did you go to see Theresa Schiavo?

I went to see Theresa on one occasion. Α How long were you in her room? 0 I believe I stayed in her room between 15 and 20 minutes. My recollection is I was accompanied by one of the staff people who I was talking to about the case and we -- part of the time I was with Theresa. We stood and chatted about the situation. Theresa was in bed. She was dressed. I spoke to her, but could detect no particular response to my voice. Her eyes were open, but she did not seem to have any appreciation for the fact that I was standing there trying to speak to her.

I would like to show you -- could you

691 please identify this document? 1 This is a photocopy of the report of the Α 2 guardian ad litem, prepared by me, and furnished 3 to the Court at the end of December 1998. 4 MS. CAMPBELL: Your Honor, at this time 5 I would like to move the report of the guardian ad 6 litem in as Respondent's Number Two. This is the 7 same one filed with the Court. 8 THE COURT: Is this a copy? 9 MS. CAMPBELL: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Is there an objection? 11 MR. FELOS: No. 12 THE COURT: What is Respondent's number 13 1? 14 MS. CAMPBELL: The film. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. 16 (THEREUPON, RESPONDENT'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 2 WAS 17 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.) 18 (By Ms. Campbell) Mr. Pearse, when was 19 the last time you reviewed this report? 20 Q I reviewed it fairly thoroughly in 21 anticipation of a deposition taken by me, of Mr. 22 Felos, I guess a couple of weeks ago now. T 23 glanced at it earlier today. 24 Can you please tell us the substance of 25

the report?

1

2

3

4

5

б'

7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

A Well, the report basically lays out what I did and how I conducted my investigation. A legal analysis and some conclusions and recommendations that I draw. In preparing the report, I tried to follow the format, as I testified earlier, that was a status conference with Judge Boyer. He provided me with guidance insofar as the areas he felt would be useful to him as the presiding judge at that time. I made notes of that status conference. I made an effort to follow that format in preparing this report.

The first section deals with my authority, as I described. The second section deals with some information I felt pertinent about Theresa, herself. Basically, in the middle section, it goes through a discussion of the various people that I interviewed, including the parties.

I reviewed as part of my investigation, which I did not mention before but bears mentioning certainly, I reviewed the financial reports in the guardianship. And there is a section in the report which deals with that. There is a discussion of the various actual

and/or potential conflicts of interest. There is a legal analysis of what I perceived to be the standard with withholding or prolonging of life procedures. The final section is one which I state my opinion and recommendations to the Court.

Q Included in your report, is there a comment about every detail of your investigation?

A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 -

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q For example, I notice there was nothing in there regarding the Catholic beliefs. Was there a specific reason you left that out?

A As I stated, after I talked with various people that I talked to from the Diocese, and reviewed the materials, I did not feel that the Roman Catholic doctrine, as I understood it, compelled one result or the other. It was essentially neutral. Because the only reason I investigated it in the first place was to the extent that Theresa was a practicing Catholic, I felt Catholic doctrine might somehow shed light on what her belief structure might be.

But in the end when I determined, at least in my own mind, that Catholic doctrine was neutral on this subject under the circumstances as I found them, I didn't feel that it would be

pertinent to include in the report, except perhaps as a matter of general interest. It wound up having no bearing on the opinion that I rendered. 'Were you raised Catholic? 0 5 А No. Are you Catholic now? Q No. Not Roman Catholic. I attend the A Episcopal church. Were you able to determine whether or 0 not Theresa Schiavo had a written living will? I guess the answer is that I'm not sure A there is absolutely any way to prove a negative. Certainly it is fair to say during the course of my investigation and entire proceeding known to me that no living will was ever produced or referred to. I have to say no. I don't believe there is one. Were you presented with any oral 18 information pertaining to what Theresa's intentions would be regarding withdrawl of a feeding tube? The only oral evidence presented to me was presented by her husband, Michael, who indicated to me during the course of my

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

investigation that prior to the accident which led

to Theresa's current condition, the two of them had had discussions wherein Theresa expressed she would not want to have to be kept alive artificially, to summarize. The discussions included more details.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Schiavo provided me with more information about the context of his discussions with Theresa, but that was the -- that was the essence of it.

Q Did you talk to anyone else, in addition to Mr. Schiavo, regarding Theresa's intentions, whoever that person may have been, as Theresa expressed to them?

A Of course, Theresa's expressed intentions were, I felt, the most critical element of what I was trying to find, inasmuch as I believe that the legal standard is one where the role in determining whether or not these measures should be withdrawn depends on what her intentions would be if she were able to express them.

I spoke, as I said earlier, to Mr. Schiavo, her husband. To her parents. To her brother. I believe I spoke with her sister. As I said, I may have spoken with one or two friends, but I am quite positive in my recollection that

the only person I talked to who was able to say to me that he or she had direct conversation with Theresa about that subject was Mr. Schiavo.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q If you had -- you say you may have talked to one or more friends. If you talked to any of the friends that had a direct bearing on what Theresa's intent would be, would that have been included in your report?

A Absolutely. Absolutely. If anyone told me they had a conversation with Theresa in which she had expressed any intention or idea that would have a bearing on what her intentions would be, I know that she is in this situation and it would have been a critical piece of evidence and unquestionably would be included in my report.

Q Did you find the explanation that Mr. Schiavo gave you to be credible?

MR. FELOS: I object to the question. I don't understand it. The explanation of what?

Q (By Ms. Campbell) Mr. Pearse, when you talked to Mr. Schiavo concerning the information that he gave you pertaining to Theresa's intent regarding life support, did you find the information that you just testified he gave to you, did you find that information to be

believable?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Well, I guess my answer is I have no basis -- I have no basis to know that Theresa said something different than what he says. However, I was mindful, and had been throughout these proceedings, that the standard of evidence which applies is one where the evidence must rise to a level of being clear and convincing. I was, and I have been, and I remain troubled by certain aspects of the chronology and timing of various decisions. And I was and remain troubled by financial considerations.

And in the end, taking all these various factors into account, it was my opinion and conclusion that the evidence of which I had been made aware did not, in my opinion, meet the required standard of evidence to say it was clear and convincing that Theresa would want to have the feeding tube or any artificial measures withheld or withdrawn. That was the basis of my findings, conclusions, and recommendations.

Q Could you please discuss the details of the issues that troubled you?

A All right. First, I was troubled by the time line involved. Theresa's accident, for want

of a better term, or cardia arrest occurred in 1990, it was my understanding, based on my investigation and information I received from the various parties. That for a period of some years, two or three, perhaps four years after the original event occurred, that Mr. Schiavo pursued virtually every possibility that he became aware of to seek treatment and therapy for Theresa in an effort to restore her to her former condition, including taking her to California, I believe, and having some experimental surgery done in an effort to try to stimulate her central nervous system.

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25 But the information that I had, caused me to conclude that there came a point, sometime three or four years after this occurred, that Mr. Schiavo basically came to accept the fact that Theresa was not going to respond to these various treatments he had sought, and that the likelihood is that she would remain in the condition that she is now in for the rest of her life.

I was greatly troubled by the fact that Mr. Schiavo, having knowledge as he claimed of what Theresa's intentions would be, waited for, well, waited until 1998 to take steps to carry out

her intentions. That fact troubled me. I was especially troubled by the idea that in the interim, Mr. Schiavo filed lawsuits based on what had happened to Theresa which resulted in a substantial settlement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

The idea -- that to do that I felt reasonably certain that somewhere along the line an argument was made based on her condition and her need for future treatment and what her normal life expectancy would be. I was troubled by the idea, and this comment has more to do with general policy and system than necessarily does with this case, but I have been troubled that we have a potential in this of doing things where a person can on the one hand seek damages based on a normal life expectancy, while having knowledge of another person to want artificial life procedures withheld or withdrawn, and after the financial arrangements are completed in regard to the lawsuits, then take the steps that will certainly inevitably lead to the death of the disabled person, if you will. So that part troubled me as well.

The other major factor was the money. Some indication, although certainly not a clear or uncontroverted indication, that there were

difficulties in the relationship between Michael and Theresa before this happened. But I have been, I have recognized from the beginning that both Mr. Schiavo and the Schindlers are in either actual or potential financial conflict depending on the various scenarios which this case might be resolved.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

One such scenario would be that Michael might seek a dissolution of their marriage, in which case because I understand Theresa had no will, he would loose his status as her sole heir at law and her parents would become heirs at law. Whereas, if she died while they were married, he would be the sole heir at law and inherit whatever money was in the guardianship at the time of her death.

So neither side, as I understand how this case is being presented, neither side is without some actual or potential conflict. But in the end, Michael, as I understand it, if he remains married, remains Theresa's sole heir at law. Again, I don't have knowledge that is more current than December of 1998, but I believe there to be presently a substantial sum of money in the guardianship that would become his upon her death.

Q Were you made aware of the fact that Mr. Schiavo is engaged to another woman?

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

ì2

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

A I was made aware that Mr. Schiavo had relationships. I use the plural there only because -- for no particular reason. I don't know if there were one or more after Theresa's accident. Some years after her accident. Whether or not I knew he was engaged to be married, I don't think I was made aware of that specific fact, but certainly aware that he had had other relationships.

Q Do you have any personal reasons why you would -- that would -- through your decision in advocating for the maintaining of the feeding tube, have a hidden agenda that you did not reveal to the Court prior to your appointment or upon release of your report?

A The answer is no. I have no personal stake in this case or the outcome of this case. I was not acquainted with any of the parties prior to this case. I believe I was acquainted with both you and Mr. Felos prior to this case. I took an oath to follow the law. I believe that I have done that to the best of my ability. I have no particular hidden agendas.

702 Obviously, this is a matter about which 1 any reasonable person might have strong, deeply 2 held personal beliefs, but to the extent that any 3 beliefs of mine might be at odds with the existing 4 law, I put my interpretation of what the law 5 requires ahead of those beliefs. 6 MS. CAMPBELL: Thank you. No further 7 questions at this time. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. Cross? 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. FELOS: 11 MR. FELOS: Good afternoon, Mr. 12 Your Honor, may I have the exhibits, Pearse. 13 please? 14 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 15 (By Mr. Felos) Mr. Pearse, I want to 0 16 clear up a matter that was brought up in testimony 17 right before you got on the stand. Mrs. Schindler 18 testified that she did receive a letter from 19 Attorney Bushnell in September of 1986 20 (sic), September 1986, stating that she and her 21 husband could receive information, medical 22 information, regarding their daughter, Theresa, 23 from Palm Garden and the contact person was a 24 Betty Snowden? 25

MS. CAMPBELL: 1996.

MS. FELOS: 1996.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q (By Mr. Felos) Thank you. September 1996. I think she also testified that Ms. Snowden left the nursing home within a couple months and she could no longer obtain information regarding Theresa from Nurse Snowden. Am I correct that you talked to some of the nurses and personnel at Palm Garden?

MS. CAMPBELL: Before he answers, I would like to interpose an objection. I believe the testimony from Mrs. Schindler was that she was transferred, not necessarily that she left the nursing home. She was transferred.

MR. FELOS: I don't recall that, Your Honor. I believe she said --

THE COURT: Called again and told she was transferred.

MS. CAMPBELL: Thank-you.

THE COURT: But on cross, to her understanding, by the end of 1996 did not know where she was. That's what my notes reflect.

MR. PEARSE: Before I answer the question, may I have a glass of water, please? O (By Mr. Felos) Mr. Pearse, in your

petition for order authorizing payment of fees and costs, you have a time record of your work on this case. I believe you have an entry on June 22, 1998, that you visited the ward at Palm Gardens and you conducted a review of the chart and you conducted interviews with Gina McClusky (phonetic) nursing home social worker, Sandy Gildemen, (phonetic) and Betty Showden (sic), LPN. Do you recall talking with Betty Showden?

1 2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

A Well, the bill entry in the petition to which you refer is a typographical error. The person I talked to was Betty Snowden. The answer is I recall talking to all three women on that day.

Q On that day, June 23, 1998, did you have difficulty finding Betty Snowden?

A My recollection was that she was not working specifically on the unit where Theresa was residing, but was working elsewhere in a rather large nursing home complex. But I guess the answer to your question would be that on that date at that time and place, no. I think Betty's name was suggested to me by either Gina McClusky or Sandy Gildemen as a person who had additional knowledge and information about Theresa, who was

working in another part of the facility.

1

2

3

4

5

6

78

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

0

I seem to recall that Betty Snowden, and I'm not 100 percent certain about this, but I seem to recall that one of these three had worked in the previous nursing home and had come to work at Palm Gardens more recently. She had been acquainted, and I think it was Betty that had been acquainted with Theresa's case, and her, at a prior nursing facility where she worked at that time, and later she went to work at Palm Garden.

But at the time of June 22nd, of my interview, all three ladies were present in the building. I was able, without prior arrangement, to meet and talk with all three on that visit.

Q Is it fair to say that the conclusion and ultimate recommendation you made in your report was a close call?

A Yes. That is fair to say. In fact, I spent some weeks considering the decision before I ultimately made the report. One of the reasons for the status conference was because the report was not prepared and filed within the originally contemplated time frame because I was having a difficult time with it.

Now I'm paraphrasing from your report.

Is it fair to say -- correct me if this is wrong -- that because there was no corroborative evidence of the ward's intent, and the only witness having evidence is the husband, who would realize a substantial financial benefit from the ward's death, you concluded that the evidence did not meet the clear and convincing evidence standard? I believe that is on the bottom of Page 12, top of Page 13.

A I think that is probably a fair paraphrasing of what is in the report.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 A Okay. So is it also fair to say, had there been corroborative evidence of the ward's intent, that that would have been highly pertinent to your investigation?

A That is absolutely no question.

Q And your conclusions?

A No question that any evidence, corroborative or not, would have had a great deal of bearing. If there had existed credible corroborative evidence, it certainly would have had a bearing on both my investigation and very likely on my conclusions. At the time of my investigation and at the time I filed the report, I was not aware of any.

Q Again, what was the date your report was filed?

A I believe the certificate of service indicates it was filed on or about the 29th day of December 1998.

Q At this trial, there has been testimony by Scott Schiavo. I believe we discussed that testimony at your deposition?

A You made me aware, and I believe it was for the first time, that two persons related to Michael Schiavo had provided evidence that was characterized by you as corroborative.

Q Right.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I put my answer that way, not to take issue with you, but because I have not talked with them and heard what they said. I have not had an opportunity to judge for myself what they had to say.

Q I understand that. Again, paraphrasing testimony, Scott Schiavo testified that at the funeral luncheon after his grandmother's funeral, which was attended by Mike and Terri, there was a discussion of what happened to the grandmother, who was put on a respirator for a short time against the grandmother's wishes. At the funeral

۰.

luncheon, there was a discussion held that they were upset about that. They would not want -people would not want to have that happen to them.

And Mr. Schiavo, Scott Schiavo, testified that Theresa, who was sitting next to him, agreed with that position that it was wrong what happened to the grandmother and she would not want to be kept alive like that or on machines.

Would that information have been something that you would have been interested in pursuing in your investigation?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Unquestionably.*

Q Assuming that that information that I have relayed to you is found credible, and I know this has to be hypothetical because you did not hear Scott Schiavo and see him, but assuming you found that information to be credible, how might that have changed in any way the conclusions in your report?

A Assuming that I found both the content of the information, as well as the circumstances surrounding it being imparted to me credible and reliable so that I believed it to be true, I would say it would have had a great deal of bearing and may well have changed the outcome of my report.

Q In addition, there has been testimony by a Joan Schiavo, a sister-in-law of Michael Schiavo, but not the spouse of Scott Schiavo. the spouse of another Schiavo brother, that she became best friends with Theresa. That they, while they lived in Philadelphia together, they either talked on the phone or met almost on a daily basis. That a girlfriend of Joan Schiavo had a baby who was born with significant impairments and was being kept alive artificially. That became a subject of discussion between Joan and Theresa on many occasions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 And that the family, the parents of that baby, decided to remove artificial life support from the baby and the baby died. That Theresa Schiavo expressed on many occasions her concurrence or agreement with the position of the parents removing life support.

She also testified as to a conversation she had with Theresa Schiavo, spurred by watching a movie where a diver was in an accident and severely injured and on various life support, and both Joan and Theresa expressed their opinion that they would not want to be kept alive in that manner.

Is that information, had it come your way during the course of your investigation, would you have found that important?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10-

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Well, certainly the later bit of information that you have conveyed where it's -you have indicated that Theresa talked about not wanting to be kept alive that way, as if in the first person, I think that would be highly pertinent. I think her reaction to a similar situation and concurrence to withdrawal of life support to a third party would have some bearing, but not quite as persuasive, in my mind.

I think we can often think about agreement or disagreement about what's happening to other people without adopting those attitudes when applied to ourselves. Certainly, it would be pertinent if the evidence were credible. Again, based on the same assumptions that I mentioned in response to a previous question, it might well have changed my conclusions.

Q Okay. Now I understand -- am I correct in understanding that you received no information from Mr. or Mrs. Schindler or any of their representatives during your investigation as to what Terri's intent may be in this situation?

A I received nothing of a direct nature. By that, I mean neither her mother, nor father, nor any of her other blood relatives with whom I spoke was able to relate to me a conversation or a communication, either verbally or in writing, from her to any of them in which she directly expressed what her intentions were.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All of them were quite ready and willing to express to me what they believed her intentions would be, based on various circumstances, but there was, they had nothing of a direct nature. That would have been just as pertinent to my investigation as would have been the evidence from the two individuals that you alluded to earlier.

Q Now both Mr. and Mrs. Schindler have testified that approximately two years ago they spoke with a Diane Meyer, who is allegedly a friend of Theresa back when Theresa lived in Philadelphia. That Diane Meyer had conversations with Terri about the Karen Ann Quinlan case, which were important conversations regarding the issue of Terri's intent. Did Mr. and Mrs. Schindler mention that to you?

A I'm reviewing my notes. I don't recall -- I certainly don't recall any references

by anybody to the Quinlan case. The name Diane rings a bit of a bell, although my notes don't reflect I was given that specific name by Mr. and Mrs. Schindler, or anyone else, as a friend to contact.

Q You mentioned that in your mind the question of Terri's intent was paramount in your investigation?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Had Mr. and Mrs. Schindler said we have a friend, Diane, who talked to Karen Ann Quinlan about Terri, do you believe that is something you would recall?

A I think you mean talked to Terri about Karen Ann Quinlan.

Q Yes.

A I believe it would be something I would recall. I believe I would have judged it to have been pertinent. I believe, and certainly would like to hope, if I had this information it would have resulted in a contact by me with Diane so that I would have interviewed her directly, and I don't believe I did in this case.

Q In her cross-examination, I asked Mrs. Schindler why she did not tell you about that. I

believe she answered, well, something to the effect that I just answered Mr. Pearse's questions, or he asked the questions and I answered them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Did you ever indicate to Mr. and Mrs. Schindler that your conversation with them was restricted specifically to your questions? Did you limit it in any way, the information that they might be able to give you?

MS. CAMPBELL: Your Honor, I object to his characterization of the testimony provided by Mrs. Schindler. I believe she went on to elaborate after that to say, to agree she was not necessarily restricted in her -- it was not a question/answer format.

THE COURT: Well, one of them answered that they were not asked. So whether they cleared it up, I still, this is probative as to that response. Objection is overruled.

A I did not expressly restrict anything. I hope that I did not do anything that implied that any such restrictions were intended. On the contrary, I was looking for a full and free exchange of information because at the time that I -- I believe I have spoken with the Schindlers --

there was one lengthy interview. One lengthy conference. There have have been, I think there may have been maybe some very minor conversations other than that.

But at that time of the investigation, my investigation was really just beginning. It was wide open. I was looking for any and all information that I could find. So I did not restrict it. It did not intend to, and I hope no one got that idea from me.

Q I believe you mentioned you found Theresa Schiavo to be, to lack cognizance when you visited her; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q And you mentioned you talked to the nursing home personnel?

A Yes.

Q Or some nursing home personnel?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 .

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

20 Q Did you come across any contrary 21 opinions as to Theresa's cognizance from the 22 nursing home personnel?

A No. :

Q Did you come across any contrary
diagnoses or opinions from any of the physicians

or physicians' statements or notes or reports?

A No.

1

2

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25 Q Now you testified on direct examination as to two factors which I believe you said troubled or concerned you about the credibility of Mr. Schiavo. The first one was the chronology of the case. I believe you testified that certainly while Mr. Schiavo had a hope for recovery for Theresa in the initial period, I believe you stated it was three or four years, that it certainly would not be, would not adversely affect his credibility that he did not seek to remove life support at that time; is that correct?

A I believe I said I could understand why he would do something like that. I did not find that to be an unreasonable response.

Q Okay. You did mention the lawsuits, though. That they somehow troubled you. The malpractice lawsuit was filed in February 1992. The verdict was rendered in November 1992, which the suit would have been filed almost two years exactly, almost two years after Theresa's incident in February 1990; and the verdict nine months later. So within the later, within the span of the first three years, completely within the first

three years the suit was filed. The verdict was rendered.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you concluded that in the first three or four years that Mr. Schiavo was aggressively trying to help his wife at all costs, and it's certainly understandable why he would not want to remove life support thinking there is a chance for recovery, why would it trouble you or affect prior opinion of his credibility that that lawsuit was filed in February of 1992 with the verdict concluded in November of 1992?

A Well, as I said, part of what troubles me about the whole scenario was that I believe, based on my knowledge of how in general personal injury lawsuits are conducted, that I believed it very likely it was argued that a jury would have been charged with finding damages based on Theresa Schiavo's normal life expectency. Part of it was a systemic difficulty.

I think I had more problem in terms of the lawsuit situation and resolution of those with the timing or the chronology which involved Mr. Schiavo's decision to cease his efforts to restore his wife and the coincidence of that decision with the receipt of the settlement funds or of the

judgment funds.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Let's backtrack on the first issue. What seems to bother you, as I understand it, is making an argument in a malpractice suit for damages based upon somebody's long life span, when somebody might believe that artificial life support will be removed and the live span in fact will be a short one? Is that the gist of your difficulty?

A I think you captured the essence of the idea. Yeah.

Q What I don't understand is, if you concluded that in the first three or four years Mr. Schiavo still had hope and still thought his wife was going to recover, there was a chance of recovery, and within that period filed the lawsuit, why -- what is the difficulty or problem of claiming damages for long life span when somebody still believes there is a chance of recovery and the person may live a long life span?

A I don't think that particular isolated element of it is particularly problematic. I think the point you are trying to make -- and I agree with you, that it is consistent. What I'm troubled by is the fact that once the lawsuit is

concluded and the money is in the bank, it is at that point that apparently this change of, at and around that time, based on the information that I have, that the change-of heart occurred.

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18.

19

`20

21

22

23

24

25

I was further troubled by the fact that, you know, after a period of time had passed when it seemed that any reasonable person could have reached a point of understanding things were never going to get better, it required an additional period of some years before Mr. Schiavd ultimately filed the petition which is at issue today.

Q So it was not per se the filing of the lawsuit and claim for damages over a long term that you don't understand or affects Mr. Schiavo's credibility, but a time connection of when the money is received his position changes; is that correct?

A I'm not sure I would totally discount the first. I think the later point you just made was somewhat more heavily on me than the former one. Although, as I said in previous testimony, part of what troubles me about the whole chronology is the fact that he would, by his own claim, have had knowledge of these intentions throughout the entire process with Theresa having

been administered this feeding tube very early in the process. The feeding tube, as I understand, was put in place within days after the accident occurred in 1990.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 .

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Well, certainly don't you think it's reasonable for a loved one or spouse to want artificial life support removed in an accute situation when the prognosis for the patient is not actually formed, when you don't know the probability of recovery?

A I have no quarrel with that as Isstated.

Q If there is a reasonable chance of recovery or hope, do you have, is there a problem with a loved one maintaining life support while that chance of recovery exists?

A Again, I don't have a particular quarrel with that concept, although one can always argue whether one's belief in that regard is reasonable or not.

Q Right.

A Assuming it's reasonable, I have no problem with that concept.

Q Now isn't it true, based upon your investigation, you found -- the first evidence you found of Mr. Schiavo's change of position

regarding Terri's care was not to treat an infection in early 1994?

A When you say the first evidence I found, are you talking about the earliest evidence or talking about the first bit of information that came to me during the course of my investigation?

O. The former.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10-

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I think the first evidence was earlier than that. Mr. Schindler related to me a conversation, in fact a rather vehement argument, that he had had with Michael over Michael's decision to seek no further treatment with the money generated by the legal action. And Mr. Schindler was under the impression that the purpose of the lawsuit, again as he related to me, that the purpose of the lawsuit, among other things, were to provide funds whereby further treatment, I guess of an experimental nature, further treatment for Theresa would be sought.

At some point, Michael communicated to him that he was no longer going to be seeking such treatment. I think that incident occurred before the business about the infection. I'd say that would be -- the conversation that Mr: Schindler related would be the first evidence I had. If my

memory serves me, the infection business came later.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q You already noted the potential or appearance of conflict for both petitioner and respondent in this case. Is it fair to say you took Mr. Schindler's statements with a grain of salt?

A I think it's fair to say I judged each party's demeanor and information which was imparted to me, and their credibility, as I felt appropriate. Certainly it's fair to say that at that particular moment in time I felt that any financial conflict of interest between Theresa and Michael was actual because they were married to each other and Michael was her heir at law, whereas the Schindlers' conflict was potential. Could only come about in the event of a dissolution of the marriage between Michael and Theresa.

Q Aside from what the respondents told you about Mr. Schiavo's alleged change of position regarding Terri's treatment, is it fair to say that the first actual evidence you found of that was the decision not to treat an infection in early 1994?

A I think everything I talked about is actual evidence. If you are talking about the first evidence that came to me from, other than one of the parties, that would be the case.

Q How does getting a verdict in 1992, November of '92, and a decision not to treat an infection a year and three or four months later, how are those two events related?

A Well --

1

2

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q If they are at all in your mind?

A I believed, based on my investigation, that Mr. Schiavo's decision, his initial decision not to seek further treatment, and I believed that based on Mr. Schindler's statements to me, that that conversation probably had occurred and it seemed consistent to me if Michael had made a decision to no longer seek treatment for her neruological condition that a decision to withhold treatment for infection would be consistent with a decision to let matters take their course.

So the context of what Mr. Schindler related to me was related to the receipt of the funds from the settlement. So that is the manner in which, at least in my mind, they were related to one another.

Q In your deposition, Mr. Pearse, on Page 71, Line 21, I asked you. And so my question is:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Number one, is that so, and if it is, in your mind how does getting a verdict in 1992 relate to a decision not to treat an infection a year and some months later?

Answer. Well, I'm not sure there is a direct relation between those two events.

You did go on in your deposition to expound on it in other areas. It was a very lengthy answer. But I'm asking you here today, are you sure there is a direct relation between those two events?

A Well, let me say that I have not had an opportunity to read my deposition, even though I did not waive the right to read it. So I don't know about the question and answer there. The relationship that I described a moment ago is one which to me is consistent. You know, whether there was an actual relationship between the two events in the mind of the other individuals involved in all this, I don't know.

If you are asking me is there some logical way I can relate the two in my mind, I have described that. Whether they are related in

.

1

2

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

anybody else's mind, I can't comment.

Q Would it be fair to say in the question of chronology which we have been talking about that the primary parts of the chronology which disturbed you was the fact that once that treatment, once that decision not to treat was made in November or early 1994, that Mr. Schiavo waited an extended period of time before filing this petition? He did not pursue that line of action? Would it be fair to say that is the primary problem you had about the chronology?

A No. It's difficult to give primacy of one point or other in that regard, but it certainly was and are important elements of what disturbed me. Yes.

Q You are aware that after Mr. Schiavo made the decision not to treat the infection in early '94 that his, that the respondents amended their petition to remove him claiming that he was not treating Theresa and he was abusing her? Were you aware of that?

A I was aware that Mr. and Mrs. Schindler had filed an action to remove Mr. Schiavo as guardian. That had something to do with his decision to seek no further treatment for her. I

can't say that I was specifically aware of an amendment. I was aware in general that the removal action was taking place. I had read, although I have no clear recollection today, but I certainly did read portions of the record, of the court record, of those actions.

Q The initial petition for removal was filed in July of 1993, which is before the decision not to seek to remove Mr. Schiavo as guardian but did not mention that as grounds. At some later time, the petition was amended to include the ground of a bias because of nontreatment.

Were you aware -- will you agree that certainly there is a huge emotional component involved in a decision to remove a life support from a spouse?

A Certainly.

A

Q Don't you feel that it's credible that a husband, upon making a decision not to treat an infection which would result in his wife's death and being condemned and sued for it, might not be able to carry out a decision to remove life support?

24 25

1

2 3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

I certainly think that reaction is a

possible reaction.

1

2

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Q

Q The petition for remove of artificial life support filed in this case, I believe was in May of 1998. It would not surprise you to learn that Mr. Schiavo initiated this process some period of time before an actual suit was filed?

A I don't really have any knowledge, any current knowledge of any activities on his part prior to the filing of the suit. If you told me that he was talking to people about it and making arrangements to file, certainly in any lawsuit, any legal action, there is some period of time of planning and preparation before an actual suit is filed. I don't have any specific knowledge of that.

Q If that period of planning and preparation in this suit was, let us say at least a year or year-and-a-half, that would shorten that gap of time and gap in chronology; wouldn't it?

A I don't know that I would agree with the premise that a year or year-and-a-half preparation and planning time would necessarily be a reasonable amount of time for a case.

Let's assume that is correct.

A If you are asking me to assume, without

regard to the reasonableness of the period of time, I don't have any knowledge. It is certainly possible he was planning it for that time. If so, then it would have a tendency to compress the chronology. Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18⁻ 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Q Um-hmm. Regarding, I think you were testifying to your position of, the position of the Catholic church based upon your investigation. Is the person that you talked to a Father Gerard Murphy?

A Yes. He is the person that I had the most lengthy conversation with. I believe there was one other person I spoke to at the office of the diocese.

Q Okay. Father Murphy testified at this trial. He would certainly be a better person to, or more qualified person to tell us the position of the Catholic church than you might be?

A Unquestionably.

Q Now as I understand it, did you not mention I believe, you testified on direct that you found that there was nothing in the doctrine of the Catholic church which would preclude removal of Theresa's life support?

A ... I was interested in whether there was

any Catholic doctrine which would morally and ethically compel a practicing Roman Catholic to choose one resolution or outcome in this case or another. As a result of my investigation, I concluded that the applicable doctrine does not compel an outcome in either way.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Q So in essence of issues of Catholicism in this case, it was a neutral point you felt did not need mentioning?

A I believe that is what I testified to earlier.

Q Why then in your report, though, did you make the statement that the ward was raised as a Roman Catholic, and according to the ward's parents, continued to practice her religion, if you felt the issue of religion was really a neutral issue?

A What you are reading is a section about Theresa. I was trying to convey some general background information to the Court so that whatever judge was going to ultimately make the decision in this case would have the benefit of some of the things that I knew about that may not otherwise have come to his attention.

It was not intended to convey anything

other than a basic background fact about Theresa. That was a religion that I had some indication that she practiced.

Q You recall, though, that Mr. Schiavo, in his interview, mentioned to you that since at least his marriage to Terri that she was not a practicing Catholic, that she did not attend mass, did not receive communion. Do you recall that?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Is there any reason why in your report you stated what the parent's beliefs as to Terri's religious practices were and not the petitioner's?

A No conscious reason. I suspect, if you pressed me to identify a reason, it would have more to do with the fact that my wife was raised as a practicing Roman Catholic. In spite of the fact she attended services with me at our local Episcopal church, she refers to herself as a Catholic and indicated to me on a number of occasions she will die a Catholic.

It's a matter of, I think, being raised in the Catholic faith which is a matter, almost a matter of culture as a matter of religion.

Q' In your report, you refer to the report of Dr. Karp, the neurologist?

A I believe so.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q You received a copy of Dr. Karp's report?

A I don't recall if I received a full copy of the report. Certainly I recall it, but did not see a full copy. I certainly saw pertinent excerpts.

Q In your report of the guardian ad litem, you refer to Dr. Karp's report, which indicates that the ward is in a chronic vegetative state. Also Dr. Karp's opinion that her chance of any improvement to a functional level is essentially zero. You mention in your report it appears that Dr. Karp's opinion of the ward's condition and prognosis is substantially shared among those physicians who have recently been involved in her treatment.

My question is this. I want to clarify this. The word substantially, as I understand it, means most, but not all. Did you find any doctor who had a contrary opinion to Dr. Karp's?

A No. There was no doctor that had a contrary opinion. The word substantially in that context was intended to refer not to the various doctors in terms of some, but not all, but rather

to the substance and quality of the opinion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All of the doctors' reports that I reviewed reached substantially the same results and conclusions. Although they are not necessarily identical they, in terms of repeating the various factors verbatim, hence the word substantially:

Q Before you mentioned that there was a period of time of reflection for you in making this report in coming to your final conclusion. That in fact is one reason the status conference was called. During that period of time, did you have a conversation with attorney, Deborah Bushnell, who was the attorney for the guardian of the property, Mr. Schiavo, in which you mentioned that you had a problem with Mr. Schiavo's credibility because of the potential inheritance? Do you recall a conversation like that?

A I did have a conversation with Deborah Bushnell. It was a telephone conversation. She had --

Q In that conversation, do you recall there being a suggestion that if Mr. Schiavo made a donation to charity of the inheritance, that that would alleviate that credibility problem?

The context of that conversation was one Α of, I guess for lack of a better way to put it. was lawyer to lawyer conversation, in which a 3 number of aspects of this case were discussed. 4 And I think Ms. Bushnell's intent was, and 5 certainly my intent was, to try to help me to 6 reach some resolution of the various issues that 7 were troubling me, including the financial issue. 8 Certainly the subject of alleviation of 9 that issue was discussed. I don't recall. I may 10 have mentioned, and I would not doubt at all that 11 I did mention, that one possible way for the 12 alleviation of the financial issue would be if Mr. 13 Schiavo were willing to forego the money. 14 Okay. 0 15 Certainly we did discuss that. That was А 16 a part of the conversation. 17 When you say forego the money, let me 0 18 read to you the sentence from paragraph five of 19 Deborah Bushnell's affidavit of February 2, 1999, 20 which is in evidence, which states: Richard 21 Pearse suggested to affiant that Mr. Schiavo agree 22 to donate to charity the inheritance he would 23 receive upon the ward's death. 24 Do you, upon hearing that, do you 25

1

2

732

ر >

necessarily disagree rather than use the word forego, you actually might have suggested Mr. Schiavo make a donation to charity?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I probably did use the word donation as a means of alleviating. I don't doubt that I used the word donation. I will say that what you read at least implies that I was making some sort of offer to broker a settlement along those specific lines. I don't think that implication is correct.

My recollection of the conversation is that we were simply discussing ideas about ways in which some of the conflicts which were troubling me might be resolved. That is one of the things we talked about.

Q That conversation with Deborah Bushnell was on October 13th. Also in evidence as part of the suggestion of bias on the part of the guardian ad litem, which is in evidence, is a October 21, 1998 letter I sent to Attorney Campbell which outlines an offer of Mr. Schiavo to donate the proceeds of the -- donate any estate of Theresa he would inherit to charity if Mr. and Mrs. Schindler dropped their objection to his petition. Did you receive a copy of that offer?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Q Did you also receive a subsequent letter informing you the respondents rejected that offer?

A Yes.

Q Did you mention in your report the offer made by Mr. Schiavo?

A No.

Q I wanted to ask you as well, there is a section of the report, in your report the ward's husband, on Page 5, and your -- relaying to you information you received from Mr. Schiavo regarding the conversation he had with Terri concerning the grandmother and uncle during a train trip to Florida?.

A That is the bottom of Page 4?

Yes.

Ö

A Uh-huh.

Q In your report, I'm reading from the fourth line down, the last paragraph, he is referring -- Mr. Schiavo indicates that she related her feelings to an uncle of hers who was severely injured in an automobile accident and was comatose for a time. Does that section of your report accurately reflect what Mr. Schiavo related to you?

A I'm not sure it does. I'm not sure the word "to" in that sentencing should not read "about". Related her feelings about an uncle of hers.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ŧ

A We had a discussion about this at my deposition. Although my notes are not real clear about it, I believe that the word "about" would more accurately reflect what Mr. Schiavo told me.

Q In your report there is some information which apparently was related to you by the Schindlers to the effect they did not think Terri's and Mike's marriage was going well prior to the accident. Is there any reason that you did not mention in your report that the ward was seeking to have a child with Mr. Schiavo at the time of the accident?

A I honestly don't -- I don't recall that that information was imparted to me. If it was, I don't recall it today. But no, there was no particular reason. Mr. Schiavo may have indicated that to me, but there was no -- I honestly don't remember if that information was imparted to me as I sit here today. Whether I had knowledge of that at the time this report was written.

Q There is a reference in your report in paragraph four which States until February 1993 the Schindlers were -- worked cooperatively with Mr. Schiavo. In fact, he lived with them in their home for a number of months following the ward's accident. We have heard testimony that in fact there were two residences that the petitioner and respondents lived in together. And the first -in the first, apparently they shared expenses and rented together. In the second, it was a home leased by Mr. Schiavo.

1

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Is there any reason -- is there any reason that your report did not reflect that information that in fact the Schindlers were living in the petitioner's home?

MS. CAMPBELL: I believe factually the characterization is a little mistaken in the fact that I believe the testimony was there were two homes and they shared the expenses in both homes.

MR. FELOS: Correct.

THE COURT: What she is pointing out is that both homes were leased in Mr. Schiavo's name; is that correct?

MS. CAMPBELL: Yes. That part was fine. I believe he said they shared the expenses in the

first home, not the second.

1

2

.3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

THE COURT: Thank you.

Q (By Mr. Felos) Is there any reason why your report did not state that the Schindlers lived in Mr. Schiavo's home, rather than what it does say that Mr. Schiavo lived with the Schindlers in their home?

A Well, I think the important concept of the entire sentence was they were cooperating up to that point. I intended to illustrate the degree of cooperation pointing out they were living together in the same household. I was under the impression at the time that I wrote the report that it was Mr. Schiavo living in the Schindler's home. If I made a mistake, it is just that.

But the main reason for the sentence, it was to convey the cooperation and contrast of relationship between these parties before and after February 1993.

Q The impression that you said you received that the parties were living in the Schindler's home, did that come from Mr. and Mrs. Schindler?

A ' I honestly don't remember. I did not

regard whose home it was as the most pertinent or operative fact when I wrote that particular sentence. I honestly, you know, I don't remember who told me what regarding that particular living arrangement. I believe I had information from both Mr. Schiavo'and from the Schindlers.

1

2

3

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

I tried to take notes during all these interviews, but I find it difficult -- I guess it's a matter of my own limitation. I find it difficult to listen closely and take notes at the same time, so my notes are not as complete as I hope or wished they were.

Q You mentioned Mr. Schiavo's romantic involvement in your report. Omitted from your report is the fact that the petitioner's involvement was with the approval and encouragement of the respondents. Is there any reason why that fact was not in the report?

A I'm not sure -- the answer is no. There is no particular reason. I'm not sure it was ever characterized for me in precisely the way you have just done. My recollection is that the relationships to which I alluded were known by all the parties. I have the impression that it was a matter that the Schindlers certainly understood

under all the circumstances. But I don't know that anybody ever put it to me that they encouraged the relationship beyond the knowledge of it.

1

2

٦

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Do you believe that in a spousal situation where one spouse has to make a decision to remove life support from the other spouse that there is always, that gives rise to the appearance of a conflict of interest, assuming naturally that one spouse is going to be the natural beneficiary of the other spouse?

A I think there is always the appearance of that conflict. However, I think that as part of your juris prudence and part of indeed our culture, we take it that spouses, that husbands and wives don't allow financial motivation also to overshadow decisions made out of love and concern, which is to say that the appearance of a conflict exists and is usually overridden by the relationship, but not always.

Q You were asked by Attorney Campbell about personal belief references. I wanted to discuss that with you. From after taking your deposition, I would like to recount to you what I believe you have expressed to be your personal

beliefs regarding withdrawal of the cessation of the artificial provision of nutrition and hydration.

THE COURT: Mr. Felos, just ask -- the deposition is not relevant at that point.

MR. FELOS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

Q (By Mr. Felos) Can you tell us what your personal beliefs are? It's been a long day. Can you tell us your personal beliefs regarding the withdrawal of artificial nutrition, of nutrition and hydration?

A It is my personal viewpoint that although those measures are certainly included in the concept of the artificial -- artificial means of prolonging life, that they -- I personally believe they have a different sort of a status than would say a ventilator or some other more intrusive means. I think there is a much less clear cut line between artificial prolongation of life and the provision of comfort and care which I understand to be admitted by the law where food and water are concerned.

So --

0

A

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

That is my personal belief about it.

Q So in your, according to your own personal belief system, artificial provision of sustenance and hydration you consider to be comfort care rather than medical treatment?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I'm not sure I agree that there is -that it is always one or the other. I don't think there is -- I don't think it's a bright line. I don't think there is a bright line test, you know. I think that each case must be judged on its own merits and circumstances. But I certainly, as I said, I'm afraid I can't put it any more artfully. I judge them to be in a somewhat different category than other types of artificial measures.

Q Do you believe that nasal gastric feeding is a noninvasive medical treatment?

A It's my understanding the definition of what is invasive, that it is not invasive. It does not involve having to make any incisions in order to put it in place.

Q I would like to read to you two sentences from the Browning case that I am sure you are familiar with. The trial court found that death would occur within 49 days after removal of the nasal gastric tube, therefore, Mrs. Browning

₹

could only have been sustained beyond that time by the administration of artificial, intrusive medical measures. Would you agree that the Supreme Court of Florida is of the opinion that nasal gastric feeding is of an intrusive medical measure?

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

A I think by what you read I would say that Judge Penick, who was the trial court, apparently thought so. Whether they do or not, I don't know. But if they do, I disagree with their characterization as intrusive by my understanding from a medical point of view.

Q It's fair to say -- is it fair to say you would think artificial provision of nutrition and hydration are more in terms of comfort care than you would medical treatment?

A That is a difficult question. As I said before, it's very circumstantial.

Q In-your deposition --

A I guess that I would have a tendency to consider food and water to be closer to the comfort care measures than other modalities such as ventilators. Again, whether they are in every circumstance depends on the circumstances.

Q In your deposition on Page 59, Line 6,

you said, but I have always had a difficult time with the inclusion of nutrition and hydration as artificial life support. I guess just from my own personal point the view, food and water, you know, I think are, you know, I think basic. I guess I really have considered them over the years to be more in terms of comfort than treatment, if you will.

Do you believe that death from removal of -- death from cessation of the artificial provision of hydration and nutrition is painful?

A Ido.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Q Now you are aware of course that the Florida legislature in Chapter 765 has said that individuals that the artificial provision of hydration and nutrition is a medical treatment which individuals have the right under the circumstances of the statute to have withdrawn or withheld?

A That is unquestionably the case, I believe, under the current law.

Q Would you say that you disagree with that legislative position?

¢

A That individuals have the right to choose to treat that -- those provisions as

artificial means of prolonging life? No. I don't disagree with that.

Q Would you like to see that law changed?

A No. I really don't think I would like to see the law changed. I'm very much an advocate of the right of an individual to make individual choices, but I think part and parcel of that law is to be certain, or at least as certain as one reasonably can be under a clear and convincing standard, that those are in fact the choices of the individual.

Q In your deposition I asked you that question on Page 60, Line 19. Do you feel that nutrition and hydration ought to be taken out of the basket of choices that a patient has in refusing medical treatment.

Answer. I would not be uncomfortable with that concept. You know, I don't think food and water is like medicine.

THE COURT: Your question?

MR. FELOS: Excuse me, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You don't just read something from a deposition when the witness says here -- what is the question?

(By Mr. Felos) I take it your answer

24

25

0

1

2

3

4

would be you would like the opportunity to explain the, your answer at deposition and your answer today at trial?

A Well, I don't think they are inconsistent.

Q Okay.

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

A You asked me to state a personal view when we had the deposition. I said that if the legislature, in its wisdom, chose to take those two measures out of the basket of choices that I personally would not be uncomfortable with that legislative decision. You also asked me today am I uncomfortable with the current law which gives an individual the right to choose.

Now if you are putting your question in the context of what I would choose, then I would feel free to state and to follow my own personal beliefs, but if you are asking me -- I don't think the two answers are inconsistant.

Q The question though was if the legislature decided to take the food -- take out of the statute the patient's ability to cease artificial provision of food and water, would you agree with it? That was not the question in the deposition.

THE COURT: The question was did he think the legislature ought to be amended. The deposition said if it was removed from the legislature would he be uncomfortable. He said no. What is inconsistent?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FELOS: That is not the deposition. The deposition question is do you feel that nutrition and hydration ought to the taken out of the basket of choices that a patient has in refusing medical treatment.

THE COURT: Wasn't his answer I would not be uncomfortable with that?

MR. FELOS: That is right.

THE COURT: What is inconsistent? He would not be uncomfortable if it came out.

MR. FELOS: I don't think the question in the deposition had anything to do with the legislature.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Mr. Felos. That is how I understood it.

Q (By Mr. Felos) Would you agree or disagree that Theresa Schiavo falls under the definition of a patient that I'm going to describe to you. A person who is otherwise stable, medically speaking, and breathing on their own and

free from other illness, who while receiving artificial -- who receives food and water artificially, is not in a situation of pain or anxiety as a result of the administration of hydration and nutrition?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Based on my knowledge of Theresa, I would agree.

Q You would agree. Is it true that you have a problem with removing the artificial provision of nutrition and hydration from a patient such as that?

A I have a problem with removing nutrition and hydration in the absence of clear and convincing evidence that is what the patient would want. To that extent I have a real serious problem. That was the basis of my conclusion in my report.

Q In your deposition on Line 1, on Page 61, Line 1, you were not talking about Theresa Schiavo in particular, but your statement was but I guess I have a problem. I guess my problem is with the idea that a person who is otherwise stable, medically speaking, and breathing on their own and free from other illness should have food and water withheld even if administered by

artificial means, if those means are creating a situation of pain or anxiety in and of themselves. That is my personal viewpoint on the subject matter.

Is it fair to say that you have a problem removing artificial provision of hydration and nutrition from a patient such -- a patient who is in such a condition?

A I am going to ask you if you would clarify your question to this extent, and that is to supply what knowledge I might have under the circumstances of that person's intentions.

Q That I don't know.

1

2

3

S

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

A Well, then I have this answer. If I felt that the person, if there was a living will that directed their withdrawal, or clear and convincing evidence that was that person's desire, then I think that should control. But if, you simply ask me if there is a patient in that situation, and we have no evidence one way or the other of what that person's wish would be, I believe to that extent, yes, my personal belief would incline me to leave the tubes alone.

Q At any time while you were, after, appointed as guardian ad litem or beforehand at

749 any time, did you inform the Court or notify the 1 Court of what your personal beliefs were regarding 2 removal of artificial provision of nutrition and 3 hydration? 4 А 5 No. 6 MS. FELOS: I have no other questions. Thank you. Redirect? 7 THE COURT: REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MS. CAMPBELL: 9 Mr. Pearse, with that last line of 10 0 questioning, let me repeat one more time then were 11 you able to set aside your personal beliefs in 12 making your report for Theresa Schiavo? 13 14 I don't believe that my personal beliefs A had any bearing on the decision that I made. 15 Μv decision was based on my analysis as a guardian ad 16 litem, where I have legal training of the 17 available evidence and standard to be applied. I 18 19 think the law is such that a person has the right 20 to direct the withholding or withdrawal of these 21 measures. But I think there is a converse right of 22 a person to have these measures sustained in the 23 absence of that kind of clear and convincing 24

evidence.

25

In the final analysis, I just, I took

what information I had and I judged the sources and I found, based on my own analysis, and in that I felt the evidence did not meet the required standard. That is the primary basis for my opinion and recommendations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Thank you. Mr. Felos has provided you with some information concerning testimony by Scott Schiavo and Joan Schiavo concerning Theresa's intent as to the removal -- her views of life support. Additionally, through that testimony, it was learned that this information only has come about through the litigation and conversations that they have had with Mr. Felos.

Would you find it equally as important in weighing the credibility of their testimony whether or not they ever came forward during the last nine years of this to state either to Michael or to the Schindlers what Theresa's views would have been?

A Certainly the circumstances whereby that sort of information was imparted would bear on the credibility of the person making the statements. Obviously, there are a host of factors that would have to be considered. Their knowledge of the proceedings and the controversy. Obviously, if

they have knowledge of it and did not come forward till late in the game, that might not reflect positively.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the other hand, if they did not know about it and came forward as soon as they found out, it may be neutral or have a positive effect on credibility. There is a whole host of factors that go into judging the credibility or believability of a witness. I am at a disadvantage when it comes to the statements made by these individuals because I did not talk to them and I have not talked to them.

I don't know the circumstances of the impacting of this information, other than what has been presented to me by you and Mr. Felos. I'm simply not in a position to judge independently the credibility of those two declarants in terms of the information they have given. You know, if I had the opportunity at the time of my investigation and if I found it credible, it might have changed my opinion. If I found it not credible, it might not have. Except to -- other than to say that, I really can't comment further.

Q In reviewing the records of Terri at the nursing home or in your conversations with any of

the staff, did anyone relay or did you see notes written to the effect of Theresa laughing at jokes?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Certainly it was related to me Theresa expressed emotions. Well, Theresa had the outward manifestation of expression of emotions at various times and under various circumstances. She sometimes laughs. Sometimes cries. Sometimes makes sounds. She sometimes moves. When I spoke to the Schindlers about that, they were quite convinced that her responsiveness was as a result of their presence. Their involvement. Those kind of stimuli.

The staff that I spoke with at the nursing home and the reports that I read which alluded to this situation generally maintain that these responses were random. Not associated with any particular stimulus. Certainly I know that the Schindlers indicated to me that they felt Theresa responded to them. The nursing home folks said that Theresa made responses, but not in a manner that they could correlate.

I don't recall whether anybody ever told me that she laughed at a joke, the implication that she heard and understood something that made

her laugh. I don't recall ever hearing that from anybody.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q There has been testimony in this case about at different times Terri and Michael living in the Schindlers' condo, living in the Schindlers' home in Pennsylvania, and testimony concerning Michael living with the Schindlers in a residence leased under Michael's name. Were any of these details provided to you by either party along your investigation?

A I suspect that some of the details were provided during the course of my investigation both by the Schindlers and by Mr. Schiavo. As I indicated, unfortunately, my notes are a little sketchier than I would like them to be in terms of trying to recall the specifics of conversations, you know, after that kind of time has passed since they occurred.

I have the impression we talked historically about Theresa's upbringing, relationship with Michael, her marriage. The move from Pennsylvania, I believe, to Florida. The relationship of Theresa and Michael to her parents. All of these matters were discussed at some length both by the Schindlers and Michael

Schiavo. So I'm sure that some of the details you are talking about were imparted to me. I don't have detailed notes about them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Is there any particular reason you did not mention the offer that was made from Michael Schiavo to my clients?

A Well, I guess that is probably some of my training as a trial lawyer showing itself. It has been practically ingrained in me from law school that evidence of settlement negotiations are generally a subject matter not appropriate to place before in the consideration of the judge or the jury who is going to ultimately determine the facts or outcome of a case.

The bottom line about the offer and the rejection of the offer and that whole scenario was that the same financial conflict that existed at the outset of all of that still existed after the offer was made and rejected, so the conflict itself remained unresolved and was not addressed further by either party to my knowledge.

MS. CAMPBELL: Thank you. No further questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Felos, anything further?

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. FELOS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Yes. Well, we all remember, or hopefully remember, the Evidence Code from law school days. I'm sure if we looked, we could find a provision that says evidence of settlement negotiations are not admissible for most purposes at trial, but then again, you are not acting in your report in the capacity as a jury or a judge.

Isn't it a fact that there are many, many types of information in your report, listed in your report, that would not be admissible in court because they are hearsay or double hearsay? Isn't that true?

A I would say yes. It is true. Certainly there are many elements of what's in the report that would not be directly admissible over objection.

Q Yes. Wouldn't you also say that the offer made by Mr. Schiavo had some relevance to his credibility?

A Certainly it had relevance to his credibility.

MR. FELOS: Nothing else. THE COURT: Ms. Campbell7

BY MS. CAMPBELL:

Q Did the Schindlers give you any reason as to why the offer was made? Why they believed the offer was made?

MR. FELOS: I object to that. We are now on redirect, and what the Shindlers' beliefs may --

THE COURT: She gets to go last. This is her witness. You questioned whether or not the offer had relevance to credibility, so I'm sensing this question has a lot to do with your question. So I'll overrule your objection.

MS. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

A The response of the Schindlers as conveyed to me on the settlement offer was that first of all it was rejected. Moreover, they felt that Michael had reason to know that there was no circumstances under which they would accept his offer. So they conveyed to me I believe that they regarded the offer as throw away. Not one that was necessarily made in good faith or knowledge that there was any chance that it would be accepted.

24 25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7.8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q If I may approach. I'm showing you what

757 is in evidence, a letter dated October 21st from 1 Mr. Felos to me. This is the letter that Mr. 2 Felos just questioned you about. Please take a 3 moment to look at those two pages. Do you see 4 contained within that letter, does it show a 5 carbon copy or xerox copy going to you? 6 No. It does not indicate that a copy of 7 Α this was sent to me. However, there is a copy of 8 this letter in my, file, so I did see this letter 9 10 at some point. MS. CAMPBELL: Thank you. No further 11 guestions. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 MR. FELOS: I do, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Pardon me? 15 MR. FELOS: May I ask another question? 16 THE COURT: One question, Mr. Felos. 17 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. FELOS: 19 However the Schindlers may have 20 ٥. characterized to their counsel who then 21 characterized to you their impression of this 22 settlement offer, while that offer was made and 23 open, wasn't there a -- wasn't there a possibility 24 that if accepted or wasn't there an open 25

possibility that offer may have been accepted, and if accepted, my client would have not received a substantial amount of money; isn't that correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Sure. That is absolutely correct, if they had accepted the offer. If the offer was accepted, the case would have been settled at that point. No doubt about that.

MR. FELOS: I have no other questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. CAMPBELL: Nothing further.

THE COURT: I have a question. Mr. Pearse, you spoke about things that troubled you. What essentially troubled you were conflicts of about, if you will, am I correct in assuming from your report and testimony that the real focus of inquiry is the intent of the patient. And that had two days prior to your signing your report you had been furnished with a copy or original of a living will that you determined to be legitimate, would all those troublesome things sort of have vanished?

MR. PEARSE: Unquestionably.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PEARSE: If I had been furnished

with a living will or any credible writing. Even that.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: While I'm saying a living will, you know what that document is?

MR. PEARSE: If Terri Schiavo had signed a living will directing the withholding or withdrawal of artificial life prolonging procedures in the event of her being in this conditioning, a terminal condition, persistent vegetative state, I have would absolutely had no problem at all with endorsing the removal of those measures.

THE COURT: So the troublesome things you spoke about are not a separate item of consideration. They simply go to the quality of the verbal testimony you had regarding her intent?

MR. PEARSE: The quality of the overall evidence that I had regarding her intent.

THE COURT: Okay. Any questions based upon the Court's inquiry?

MR. FELOS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. PEARSE: May I be excused, Your

THE COURT: Is he under subpoena?

MS. CAMPBELL: Yes. 1 THE COURT: Free from further testimony 2 as far as you are concerned? 3 MS. CAMPBELL: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Felos? 5 MR. FELOS: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. You are free. 7 Mr. Felos, I think you still have evidence. Ms. 8 Campbell, it's twenty to 6:00. Do you wish to put 9 on any additional witness this afternoon? 10. MS. CAMPBELL: There is one here. She 11 can come back tomorrow morning. I do have one 12 additional witness, hopefully, that has arrived at 13 the Tampa airport at this point in time. I 14 anticipate both witnesses to be relatively short. 15 THE COURT: So is there an objection to 16 hearing this additional witness? Does anybody 17 have commitments that would preclude them having 18 this last witness this evening? 19 THE COURT: Let's take ten minutes. 20 MR. FELOS: Excuse me. My co-counsel 21 has informed me we do have a meeting with 22 Dr. Barhnill this evening. 23 THE COURT: What time? 24 MS. CAMPBELL: To call him when we get 25

out of here around 6:00. 1 2 THE COURT: Do you have to call him at 6:007 3 4 MS. FELOS: We are to call him and set 5 up a time which is shortly after 6:00 was the 6 idea. THE COURT: Well, if that creates a 7 problem, I guess we probably should break now and 8 9 your witness can return tomorrow. 10 MS. CAMPBELL: Yes. 11 THE COURT: That means we have two witnesses in the morning of yours, not to hold you 12 13 to the number. So we should be finished with your testimony by 10:30, plus or minus? 14 15 MS. CAMPBELL: I imagine. THE COURT: Then get to rebuttal, if 16 17 any. Then go to rebuttal and closings, if time. 18 If not, do closings on Friday. How many witnesses in rebuttal do you anticipate? 19 20 MR. FELOS: At this time, four. THE COURT: Okay. Hold you to it. 21 22 Questions and answers. I don't want to hear all 23 this testimony one more time. All right. Stand in recess until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning by my 24 25 watch.

(THEREUPON, COURT RECESSED AT 5:45 ON 1-26-00 1 AND COMMENCED AGAIN ON 1-27-00 AT 9:00 A.M.) 2 THE BAILIFF: All rise. Circuit court 3 of Pinellas County is back in session. 4 THE COURT: Be seated, please. 5 Ms. Campbell, are you ready to proceed? 6 7 MS. CAMPBELL: Yes, Your Honor. I would 8 like to call Diane Meyer to the stand, please. 9 THE BAILIFF: Stand right here, please. Face the judge. Raise your right hand to receive 10 11 the oath. (THEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS SWORN ON OATH BY 12 13 THE COURT.) * 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. CAMPBELL: 16 Q Good morning. 17 A Good morning. 18 0 Please state your full name. Á. 19 Diane Christine Meyer. Where do you live? 20 0 I live in Doylestown, Pennsylvania. 21 А Did you just fly in? 22 0 Yes. Yesterday. Late. 23 Α How do you know Terri Schiavo? 24 Q Terri and I grew up together. Our 25 A

parents were friends. Terri and I became 1 friends. We spent holidays and vacations 2 together. Our whole families were friends. 3 What is your educational background? 0 4 I have a Bachelors Degree in psychology Α 5 from LaSalle University. I am a practicum short 6 of a Masters Degree in counseling/psychology. 7 What is your occupation? 0 8 I'm a child welfare social worker. Α · 9 Have you ever testified in court before? Q 10 A ' Yes. 11 What do you do as a child welfare social 0 12 worker? 13 Abuse investigations. When a report of 14 А abuse comes in to Bucks County, I am one of the 15 investigators that goes out and determines whether 16 it's indicated or unfounded and provides services 17 to families in need in the County of Bucks. 18 Whatever services the family might need. 19 How old are you? Q 20 Thirty-six. A 21 Do you know how old Terri Schiavo is? 22 0 Thirty-six. Α 23 Can you please describe, when you say 24 0 you grew up together, describe the activities you 25

763

€

did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A Our families would spend holidays together. I remember going up to visit the Schindler's home frequently when I was young. I used to joke with Mrs.' Schindler. I was kind of hyperactive. She used to say that when I was coming, hide all the breakables.

We would play. My brother, Steven, and Bobby are the same age. They were friends. We went on family vacations every summer together. The Schindlers would go to Stone Harbor and we would go down and visit. We would go to Cape May and they would come and visit us. We took the same weeks together and ended up taking two weeks of summer together.

Q Approximately when did this friendship or closeness of the families start?

A All growing up we were friends. Terri and I became particularly close right after we graduated high school. That summer.

		•
21	· Q	Where did you go to high school?
22	A	Villages of Marie.
23	Q	Was that a Catholic school?
24	A	Private Catholic.
25	, Q	Do you know where Terri went to school?

1	A	Archishop Wood.
2	· Q	Was that close in proximity to you?
3	A	Yes.
4	· Q	Did you belong to the same church?
5	A	No .
6	Q	What is your religious preference?
7	A	Roman Catholic.
8	Q	Are you actively practicing?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q .	Do you attend mass regularly?
11	A	Yes.
12 ·	Q	Have you pretty much growing up your
13.	whole chi	1dhood?
14	A	I'm sorry?
15	, Q	Have you pretty much had the same
16	practices	s, religious-wise, growing up?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Did you ever work with Terri?
19	· A	Yes. We did. We held a couple of
20	summer jo	obs together. Facelifters Kitchens.
21	Telemark	eting.
22	· Q	How often would you generally see
23	Terri, w	ould you say, after high school?
24	A	In the summers, it would be daily. We
25	saw each	other every day. I know the summer after

ć

high school graduation it was I would be at her house one night and she at mine the next. We were back and forth. Our parents must have split our food bills because we were together so much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q How often would you speak to each other?

A Everyday during the summer. Then I went away to college. I want to Scranton University in September. She stayed home. So I would assume --I came home in the beginning almost every weekend -- I saw her whenever I was home. Then we would talk on the phone, probably weekly, at the very least while I was away. She would come and visit me up in Scranton.

Q How would you describe Terri as a young adult?

A Terri is one of those people that I always say that you are lucky that you get to know. She is just good. I used to say she was the light side of life. I looked at somebody and would say what an ugly dress. She looked at them and would say, but it looks good on her. I never ever heard her really say anything bad about anyone. She was just good. Funny. Pretty.

Q Did you and Terri ever discuss any end of life issues?

€

A There was an incident that happened one summer where I told a poor joke about Karen Ann Quinlan. I remember distinctly because Terri never lost her temper with me. This time she did. She told me that she did not find the joke funny. She did not approve of what was going on or what happened in the Karen Ann Quinlan case.

I remember one of the things she said is how did they know she would want this. How did they know she wouldn't want to go on. She was so strong about it. Terri, to take that strong of a stand and say something so strongly and come back at me the way she did, it really embedded in my memory.

Q Do you remember what the joke was?
A Yes. Do you want me to say it?
Q Yes.

A I apologize for the joke. It was, "What is the state vegetable of New Jersey?" And the punch line was Karen Ann Quinlan.

Ö

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

.9

10

11

12

13. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you recall when that was? .

A In trying to go back through my memory, the nearest I can track it was after we graduated high school, I believe it was, because my parents gave me a car and I remember us being in that car.

It was the summer of '82.

Q Do you recall when the Karen Ann Quinlan case was being discussed in the news?

A Now I do. Now I remember it was in the 70s. At the time I did not remember, when we originally talked. Now I know it was in the 70s.

Q Is there anything that has triggered your memory as from timing as to why this is coming about later?

A When I was asked those questions in deposition, I kept saying I wonder why, what brought that joke up. What I remembered was a replay of the telemovie regarding her, Karen Ann Quinlan. I remember watching it in Scranton in my lounge where I was living that year. So it falls in in the time frame, it must have been, that that telemovie resurfaced the joke.

Q Do you remember who was featured in the telemovie movie?

A I think Brian Keith. She was the daughter of the guy from the Untouchables. I can't remember her name. I remember it was the daughter of the guy from the Untouchables was in it.

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Was this a long period of time of a

discussion between you and Terri?

A It was -- probably there was not much of a discussion because I did not have much to come back for obviously. Obviously, I was very wrong at telling that joke. In retrospect, it's not a very funny joke. I don't know if it was a discussion. It was more of a dialogue or soliloguy on the part of Terri.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Did she hold any grudge against you?

A No. No. She spoke her mind. That was it. I'm sure we were probably laughing shortly thereafter.

Q When was the last time you talked to Terri?

A I'm not sure of the year. Let me go back. I think I can go back in memory. Probably in '85 or '86.

Q Do you recall what the discussion was about?

A Terri and I had met in the parking lot of her church, Our Lady of Good Counsel, to talk about difficulties we were having in our friendship. The discussion was about -- she laid out these things that I had apparently said or done that had hurt her. And again, it was not

much of a dialogue because she laid out her 1 husband said I did this or her husband said I was 2 not a good friend for this reason. 3 I had nothing to come back from. 4 Basically, if you are going to believe what is 5 happening, there is nothing I can say. 6 Did you ever have any concern about 7 0 issues for Terri around that time frame? 8 Yes. I did. А 9 What were those concerns? 0 10 Her eating habits or lack of gating 11 A I had stayed with Terri, I guess about a habits. 12 week. A Monday through Friday kind of thing while 13 her husband had gone to training school. I'think 14 it was called Ronald McDonald College. I stayed 15 with her. 16 During that period of time that week --17 they were living in a townhouse or condo they had 18 rented. She had not eaten much at all. As a 19 matter of fact, Friday night Terri and I liked to 20 go to eat Chinese and pig out on Buddha's Delight. 21 I think it was the American Music Awards 22 were on because her mother called during it to 23 sing while Stevie Wonder was singing "I Just 24 Called to Say I love You". Her mother had done 25

770

Ċ

that. That is why I remember that. I forced Terri -- was prompting her to eat.

When her husband had returned I had, within that week of returning, mentioned to him that I was concerned about her eating. He had said everything was okay. Then time had passed. I'm not sure how much time. And I approached him again, because she still was not eating, and I was told she was fine and to mind my own business.

0

0

1
2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 .

19 20

21

22

23

Did Terri ever have a weight problem?

A Yes. She did growing up. She lost a lot of weight in her senior year in high school through Nurti-System.

Q Was she thin at the time you are talking about?

A Yes.

Q Is there any other insight you can tell the Court, insight to help the Court as to Terri's wishes as to withdrawl of feeding tubes?

A I just go back to that conversation that she, for her to express such alrong feelings, it just was not her. To come back at me that way as strongly as she did. And I have to believe that she felt very strongly about that.

24 25

Did your -- was Terri close to your

grandmother?

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25 A My Grandmother Cuter? I think she was.
 Yeah. She liked my grandmother.

Q Did your grandmother also live in the Philadelphia area?

A Yes.

Q Did she pass away during the time of your and Terri's friendship?

A Yes. She did.

Q Do you recall Terri ever making any comment to you regarding the circumstances of her death one way or the other?

A Not right now.

Q What do you believe, you personally believe, are the Catholic beliefs in the withdrawal of life support issues?

MR. FELOS: Your Honor, I object, number one, as to relevance as to what this witness believes the Catholic church's position is, and the other as to the competency of the witness to testify as to what the beliefs of the church are.

THE COURT: Well, she's a practicing Catholic, so as to the second objection, I'm not sure that is valid. What earthly relevance is her beliefs?

MS. CAMPBELL: We've heard testimony before from a priest's level as to what the Catholic beliefs are. There was some discussion in that as to what actual practicing Catholics would believe circumstances would hold. What the church's beliefs would be. I think it would be relevant for this witness as to someone in the same area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

THE COURT: Different church, different priest.

MS. CAMPBELL: Same religious beliefs. Close friends. I think what her personal beliefs are and what the church's beliefs are are relevant. Not from an academic level, but from her personal level as a practicing Catholic.

MR. FELOS: Whatever this witness may believe that the doctrine of the Catholic church is is hers. It would be sheer speculation to infer from that what somebody else may have believed.

THE COURT: Well, you know, if you would have asked her, I would have probably allowed it to show possible bias. I'll allow it. We don't have a jury. Please proceed.

Q (By Ms. Campbell) Thank you. You may

answer the question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Actually, can you ask it again? I'm not sure.

Q What do you believe are the Catholic beliefs in the withdrawal of life support issues?

A My understanding of what the Catholic church says is that life is to be protected as long as there is life. That withdrawal of life support is not along the lines of Catholic doctrine.

11 Q Why did you want to come and testify in 12 this trial?

> A Because it's right. Because it was the right thing to do. And I wanted to speak to what my conversation was with Terri-and let the Court hear it.

MS. CAMPBELL: Thank you. I have no further questions at this point.

THE COURT: Cross-examination?

- CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. FELOS:

Q Is it Miss Meyer or Mrs. Meyer? Are you married?

A Miss Meyer.

Q Are you married, ma'am?

1	A No. I am not.
2	Q Have you ever been married?
, 3	A No, sir.
4	Q I'm George Felos, attorney for Mr.
5	Schiavo. As I understand your testimony, the
6	thing that strikes you the most about the
7	conversation with Terri about the Karen Ann
8	Quinlan case was her stong reaction?
9	A Um-hmm. Yes, sir.
10	Q Okay. I think you said it's the first
11	time she ever got angry at you?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q That is really the primary thing that
14	sticks in your mind? My gosh, what a reaction
15	from Terri when I told that joke?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q As I understand it, Terri was a very
18	compassionate person?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	Q Very loving person?
21	A Yes, sir,
22	Q Never wanted to look down on somebody.
23	In fact, you gave an example if you said, hey,
24	it's black, she'd say it's white because she was
25	that type of person and did not want to look at

	L t	
1	the bad side?	776
2	A Correct.	
3	Q Would you agree that the joke you told	
4	is offensive?	
5	A Yes, sir.	•
6	Q Would you agree that even the average	
7	person may find that joke offensive?	
8	A Yes, sir.	
9	Q Would you agree that Terri in	
10	particular, being who she was, would find it	
11	particularly offensive?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q Now would you agree that the joke is	
14	offensive whatever one's beliefs may be about	
15	withdrawal of life support?	
16	A Yes, sir.	
17	Q So the fact that an individual, any	
18	individual, and especially Terri, would have a	
19	strong and hostile reaction to that joke just for	÷
20	the fact that it's being told would not surprise	
21	you; would it?	
22	A NO.	
23	Q Now I believe that you mentioned that	
24	your that Terri's comment was she didn't	
25	approve of what the parents are doing?	
	¢	

A She did not approve of what happened. What the parents are doing.

Q What the parents are doing. Would you agree that in the Karen Ann Quinlan case that what the parents were doing or doing was trying to remove or seeking permission to remove the respirator from Karen Ann Quinlan?

A Yes sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Wouldn't you agree that the statement "I don't agree with what the parents are doing," would make no sense if the parents had already done the act?

A I see what you're saying there, but what I'm saying is what I believe Terri was talking about is it was ongoing. That they had removed -they had fought to have it removed by that point, but what you know you are doing, we are doing, it's semantics. It was their position I think she was objecting to.

Q Could you repeat that answer again? I didn't quite understand that.

A Sure. What I'm saying is what you are talking about is one word. "Are" as opposed to "were". I'm saying, in the course of memory, it is semantics. It was the opinion. What was

important to me, is what she was expressing in 1 terms to her objection to what their intent was. 2 Do you recall that I took your 3 0 deposition? I didn't, but Mrs. Felos took your 4 deposition? 5 Yes, sir. 6 A That was, my gosh, this month. Just a 7 Q couple weeks ago. January 10, 2000? 8 Yes, sir. 9 Α. You were asked, on Page 37, Line 19, 0 10 Question. Okay. Let me go back to that 11 one conversation then again. If you can recall. 12 Answer. Um-hmm. 13 Ouestion. And can we assume that the 14 Karen Ann Quinlan case was fairly prominent in the 15 news at that time when you told the joke? 16 Answer. I would assume so. Otherwise 17 the joke by now is old hat. I mean, so I assume 18 it was prominent at that time. 19 Wouldn't it seem logical to you that --20 A If you read further --21 -- that the case was in the news and 22 0 prominent at that time? 23 That was only a part of the deposition, 24 A I believe. By the way, I did not receive a copy 25

to, I asked to stipulate to, and I had not received a copy to sign off on. So I'm not sure I can say that, you know, it's accurate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But my memory of the deposition was that I was asked that question on several occasions. On several occasions I answered similarly to that. That I could not state for sure it was in the news at that time, but something had obviously prompted a resurface of the joke.

Q Ma'am, I don't believe you said in your deposition something prompted a resurface of the joke, but let's go back to your deposition. I asked on Page 25:

Question. I guess the Supreme Court, the court, it was still in the court at that point is your understanding?

Answer. I honestly don't remember at that time. I assume by remembering her statement it was or just had recently been.

After your deposition two weeks ago, from that, from the time of your deposition to your testimony today --

A Um-hmm.

Q -- have you spoken with anyone about your testimony or about this case?

Yes, sir.

А

0

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Who have you spoken with?

A I have spoken with -- I'm sorry. Ms. Campbell. And I have spoken with my father. That's pretty much it.

Q Um-hmm.

A I told work about why I was coming, but not what the content was.

Q Did you speak with any of the Schindlers?

A I have spoken with the Schindlers, but not directly about testimony.

Q Well, has someone told you, between the date of the deposition and the date of your testimony today, you*know, gee, the Karen Ann Quinlan case occurred in 1975 and 1976?

A No, sir. The deposition prompted me because I was pressed within it and was not able to answer it, prompted me to go back and look.

When did you talk to Ms. Campbell?

A I talked with her -- I talked with her several times this week because --

Q Is it your testimony that Ms. Campbell didn't mention to you anything about the fact that we had newspaper articles about the Karen Ann Quinlan case which showed the dates were in 1975 or 1976?

A No, sir. She did not.

Q And --

A I mentioned to Ms. Campbell that in my deposition I had difficulty remembering when the Karen Ann Quinlan situation was. I felt you were going for that in the deposition, to be perfectly honest. So I went back, and it was in going back that I realized what had probably prompted it was I remembered seeing the telemovie.

Q I'm not saying there is anything wrong with Ms. Campbell telling you about this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

A I'm saying it did not happen.

Q It's a little hard for -- please. It's a little hard for me to believe that as good an attorney as she is she would not say to a witness we have some evidence now showing when the case was, does that jog your recollection. Did that help you understand the dates. I mean, Ms. Campbell never mentioned anything like that to you?

A I had talked to her about it prior to that.

.

0

What did you tell Ms. Campbell about it?

¢

A I told Ms. Campbell that, as I said to you in the deposition, when we were talking in the deposition -- actually, I was talking to I assume your wife -- that I felt that they were questioning very strongly on the dates. I was weak on that because I didn't know it.

So I went back to find out when the Karen Ann Quinlan thing had happened and remembered seeing -- because I could not remember what prompted the joke -- and rememberéd seeing the telemovie.

Q The statement of Terri was she didn't approve of what Karen Ann Quinlan's parents were doing? Not had done, but were doing?

A I remember her saying were doing or are doing.

Q You remember her saying what the parents are doing?

A Um-hmm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Did she elaborate anymore about what it was particularly that she had a problem with?

A What she had talked about was that, and what I remember specifically was her talking about how did they know what she feels. How did they know. And saying, you know, going on and on about

783 what she felt, meaning Karen Ann, how her parents could make that choice for her. In your deposition on Page 23 --0 А Um-hmm. -- you made the statement -- these were 0 your words about what Terri said to you about why she didn't approve of what the parents were doing. How do they know that she wants this? She may be there and want to continue living. Ä Um-hmm. 12 Well, let's take a look at it. Is that 0 your testimony now as to what Terri told you? A Yes. That is what I remember. How do her parents know that she wants Õ this, would you agree that that's a question of Karen Ann Quinlan's intent? А Yes, sir. Q So Terri, is it fair to say Terri was saying, well, maybe it's not good for the parents to do this if they don't know what Terri -- if they don't know what Karen Ann Quinlan wants? If they don't know what her intent is? A Correct. But the second statement --Well, let me --٠Q

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

A Um-hmm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Q So couldn't one reasonably conclude in that statement that if Terri was aware or knew that Karen Ann Quinlan's parents knew that this is what their daughter wanted she may have had a different reaction?

A Possibly. That's me making an assumption as to what she would have done.

Q But you do agree that one question, that area of concern that Terri had was, gee, do the parents know what Karen Ann Quinlan wanted? How do they know what she wants?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Because that is what you said she said. How do they know what she wants.

A Um-hmm.

Q There is a second part to what you said Terri told you. She may be there and want to continue living.

A Um-hmm.

Q Well, the second part, she may want to continue living, also goes to the question of what Karen Ann Quinlan's intent was; wouldn't you agree?

A Yes.

Terri seems to be sharing that if it's Q 1 Karen Ann Quinlah's intent to want to remain alive 2 that she thinks that Karen Ann Quinlan should 3 remain alive; is that a fair statement? 4 Yes. A 5 The statement that you claim Terri made 0 6 "she may be there" --7 Um-hmm. A 8 -- what did you take that to mean? 9 Q Anything in particular? 10 It meant that there may have been some 11 A -- at the time I believe, and in retrospect what I 12 interpreted that to mean is Karen's apparent will 13 to live, whatever it is that makes a person'a 14 person, was there. 15 Would you agree with me when Terri 16 0 allegedly said "she may be there" is that she is 17 saying, gee, if Karen Ann Quinlan has 18 consciousness, awareness, she may want to live? 19 Yes. Well --Ά-20 Can we equally assume from that that if 21 0 in fact Karen Ann Quinlan had no consciousness and 22 was truly unconscious that Terri may not have had 23 an objection or reaction? 24 No. Because what I took the 25

consciousness to mean was not that. Not consciousness in terms of that there was something there. That Karen was there and that we couldn't make that judgment as to whether or not --

Q Terri does not say that. She just said --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11 .

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A You are asking me for what I assume Terri meant. That is what I assumed Terri meant.

Q But she said she may be there --A Right. And you asked me --Q -- and want to continue living? A Correct.

Q If someone has no consciousness, can they form an intent as to what they want?

A I don't know the answer to that. I don't know that anybody truly does. Especially in these states.

Q Explain to me, please, how if there is no consciousness or awareness how someone can take the volitional act of making a decision as to what they want?

A What I'm saying and what you have been asking me is to assume what Terri was intending by her statement.

That is not my question. My question

was explain to me how someone without

consciousness can take the volitional act as to making a decision as to what they want?

A I don't know.

Q Would you agree that that can't be done?

A I don't know. Because I don't know enough about level of consciousness.

Q Now your parents, especially your father, is a really good friend of Mr.

Schindler's; isn't he?

A Yes.

Q They had a close relationship while they were living in Philadelphia?

A Yes.

Q You testified that the families are very close together?

A Yes.

Q You're close -- in fact, your father came with you on this trip; didn't he?

A Yes, sir.

Q Your friendship with Terri really jelled your senior year of high school; didn't it?

A Toward the end. Yes.

Q In fact, Terri was probably your closest friend?

787

C

A Yes.

Q And would you say she was your closest friend until the breakup of the relationship in 1986?

A Yes.

Q That relationship was very important to you?

A Yes.

A

5

21

22

Q And can I assume that it must have been a really harsh or bad breakup because you did not talk to her since 1986?

A It was not harsh. It was painful.

Q It was painful to you?

A Um-hmm.

Q And is it true that you blame Mr. Schiavo --

A No. It's not true.

Q -- for the breakup of that relationship?

A No. It is not true.

Q You'don't blame him?

A No. Terri was an adult. Terri made her own choices.

Q Well, you related to us that the supposed reasons that Terri was giving you for breaking up the relationship were lies about you

that Michael told her?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A That is what Terri relayed to me. Yes. Well, the things that she had said were statements her husband had told her. Yes.

Q Did you believe Terri was telling you the truth?

A Yes. I did.

Q So in essence you believed at the time that Mr. Schiavo had lied to Terri about things that you did?

A Yeš.

Q You believed at the time that that was the cause for Terri turning on you or ending the relationship?

A I wouldn't say the cause. I would say that is what prompted Terri to make her choices. But Terri made her own choices. She was an adult and she made her own choices.

Q You didn't go to the mame school as Terri?

A No, sir.

Q You talked about being with Terri while Michael was training at McDonald's.

A Um-hmm.

0

Is it fair to say that you were

disturbed by Terri's eating habits?

A Yes, sir. May I have a glass of water?

(THEREUPON, THERE WAS A BRIEF PAUSE IN THE TESTIMONY.)

Q (By Mr. Felos) I think we were talking - about Terri's eating habits.

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q When your friendship jelled in high school, were the two of you about the same weight?

A No. Terri had actually probably just lost a lot of the weight. I was overweight, but Terri was thin.

Q At the time of Terri's marriage, would you say she was -- what would you say Terri weighed at the time of her marriage?

A I have no idea, but I think she might have been -- I go by size. I think probably around a size 10, 12.

Q Would you say the two of you were about the same weight at the time she was married?

A No. No. I was much heavier.

Q So Terri, as time went on, continued to lose more weight?

A Yes, sir.

Q She was becoming thinner. By the way,

how soon after the time you noticed that you believed that Terri had some sort of eating problem, how soon afterward was the breakup of the relationship?

A To be bonest with you, I'm not sure. I first, like I said, the first time that it became, I became aware of it is when I stayed with her for that week. That was, I believe they were married a short period of time at that point.

I'm not sure, but they were a till living in the condo or the townhouse. Then they had moved. So there was a period of time. Because they had moved to her parent's basement and were living there before our relationship ended.

Q Are you angry at Mr. Schiavo now?

A As I said in my deposition, yes, for what I believed he knew and took no action on.

Q Okay. So to this day. So you last spoke with Terri in 1986?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Sometime before you spent some time and you noticed that she was not eating the way you thought she should?

A No. I noticed she was not eating - Q -- and decades later you are still angry

at Mr. Schiavo? 1 Yes. I'm also angry at myself. A 2 Just one moment more, MR. FELOS: 3 Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Yes sir. 5 (By Mr. Felos) Does the loss of your 0 6 friendship with Terri still cause you pain or 7 grief? 8 I wouldn't say grief. The loss of Α 9 Terri's friendship is a sad part of my life, but I 10 have gone on and made other friends and I still 11 remember Terri very fondly. 12 MR. FELOS: I have no other questions. 13 THE COURT: Redirect? 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. CAMPBELL: 16 Were you in Michael and Terri's Q 17 wedding? 18 Yes. Α 19 How would you describe the closeness of 0 20 your relationship at the time of the wedding? 21 Very close. We spent a lot of time Α 22 together. We actually, the morning of her 23 wedding, her sister, myself, and Terri all went to 24 the hairdresser together. Terri and I had the 25

same hairdresser the way young girls had the same 1 of everything. We spent that morning together. I 2 was very close to Terri at the time of her 3 wedding. 4 When you testified that this was the 0 5 right thing to do --6 Yes. Α 7 -- to come here to the trial, what do 0 8 you mean by that? 9 I mean that it would have been wrong Α -10 for --11 MR. FELOS: I object. This is 12 redirect. I didn't ask on cross about the right 13 thing to do. 14 THE COURT: I think he is right. 15 Sustained. 16 (By Ms. Campbell) When you testified 0 17 that you were angry at Michael, is there anyone 18 else that you are angry at, besides yourself, you 19 say? 20 Yés. Α 21 Who is that? 0 22 Mr. and Mrs. Schindler. A 23 Why is that? Q 24 Because they knew that this was wrong, A 25

what was going on with Terri, too. They knew that in terms of our friendship. They knew me my whole life and they knew that -- I'm sorry -- that I would never hurt Terri, and they let it happen too.

1

2

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q What do you mean when you say they let it happen too? What are you referring to?

A I remember at the time that Terri and I were having difficulty that they seemed to support the breakup of the friendship. I remember in particular I was at Christmas and Terri, openly ignored me. Did not speak to me. We always had Christmas dinner together. I left the house in tears and nobody said anything.

Q Do you think when you said that Terri was making choices, do you -- explain to me what the choices were you believed Terri was making.

A Terri made the choice to believe what she was told. When I say I'm not angry at Michael, I'm not. Because he really doesn't carry any importance in my life. Never did and does not to this day, except as the husband of Terri. The Schindlers did and still do carry importance in my life, and it was hurtful. As hurt as I was by Terri's choice, I was that hurt by their choice.

¢

	,	75
1	Q So are you here today for either Michael	
2	or for the Schindlers?	
3	A Absolutely not.	
4	MS. CAMPBELL: Thank you. I have no	
5	further questions.	
6	THE COURT: Mr. Felos, anything further?	-
7	MR. FELOS: Yes, Your Honor.	
8	RECROSS - EXAMINATION	
9	BY MR. FELOS:	
10	Q You mentioned at the time of your (sic)	
11 /	wedding, at the time of Terri's wedding, that she	
12	was your closest friend?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q Did you believe Terri regarded you as	
15	her closest friend?	
16	A I could not tell you. I knew we were	
17	close.	
18	Q Did you know Sue Cobb?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Would you agree that she was Terri's	
21	best friend at the time?	
22	A I know Sue Sue and Terri were not	
23	spending a lot of time together after Terri and I	
24	started spending time together. I know Sue and	
25	Terri were really good friends in high school and	

before. Terri and I did not get really close until right after high school.

Q So as I understand it, you are angry at the Schindlers and Michael because you believe they ignored a eating disorder that Terri had?

A I didn't know if the Schindlers knew or did not know. I never approached them. I approached Michael. I can't say I thought it was an eating disorder. I didn't have the ability to say that.

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Why are you angry at the Schindlers?

A I'm angry at the Schindlers regarding the breakup of my friendship, not so much the breakup of my friendship with the Schindlers or with Terri, but for their position at the time.

Q Okay. You just stated that you don't know whether Terri had any eating disorder. Then why are you angry at Mr. Schiavo?

A At the time I didn't know. What I believe now was an eating disorder, at the time I didn't know what it was. At the time I just saw a problem and tried to point it out to her husband on two occasions.

Q What was that problem?

A That she was not eating. Literally was

not eating. In the week I spent with her, I saw . 1 her eat one bagel until I got the Chinese food and 2 said "come on". 3 Are you saying that you were with Terri 4 0 24 hours a day for a week and saw her eat one 5 bagel during that period of time? 6 7 No. She went to work. A i 8 0 And --9 А And I went to school. She could have eaten at work; couldn't 10 0 11 she? She could have. 12 A Had lunch at work? 13 Q She could have. 14 Α But she did not eat much during the 15 Q 16 evening? As I said, the one bagel was broken up 17 A for the week. That was, she cut it up for the 18 week and it was breakfast and it was dinner until 19 Friday. That would have been -- I think I 20 probably stayed with her Monday. I don't remember 21 exactly. I remember leaving from there to go to 22 school and her going to work. 23 Q And you told Mr. Schiavo about that? 24 25 А Yes, sir.

You believe that you saw Terri for 1 Q dinner cut up a bagel and eat a portion of it 2 during the week. You told Mr. Schiavo about that 3 and you are still angry at him to this day? 4 Yes. As myself. А 5 MR. FELOS: No other questions, 6 Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Anything further? 8 MS. CAMPBELL: Nothing further. 9 THE COURT: Ma'am, let me ask you a 10 question, if I might. When you made your joke, 11 had you and Theresa Schiavo watched this movie 12 together? 13 THE WITNESS: No, sir. 14 THE COURT: So some seven years or six 15 years after the event, you were triggered because 16 she was angry because you made the joke? 17 THE WITNESS: I assume yes. I don't 18 know if she saw the show or not. The TV movie. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. Any questions 20 based upon the Court's inquiry? 21 MR. FELOS: No, Your Honor. 22 MS. CAMPBELL: No, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: You may step down. 24 MS. CAMPBELL: Is it permissible for Ms. 25

Meyer to remain in the courtroom?

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Obviously, you don't intend to call her for rebuttal, Mr. Felos?

MR. FELOS: "I can't see why I would call her as a rebuttal witness.

THE COURT: Ma'am, the rule is invoked. I'm sure Ms. Campbell has explained that to you. Even though you are no longer going to be a witness, you should not discuss your testimony with anyone until the testimony phase of the trial is over. Thank you.

THE COURT: Please call your next witness.

MS. CAMPBELL: Thank you. I would like to call Jackie Rhodes.

THE BAILIFF: Stand here. Face the judge. Raise your right hand to receive the oath.

(THE WITNESS WAS SWORN ON OATH BY THE COURT.)

THE COURT: Have a seat up in the chair, please.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. CAMPBELL:

Q Good morning.

A Good morning.

1	Q Please state your full name.
2	A Jacquelyn Rhodes, but you may call me
3	Jackie.
4	Q Where are you from?
5	A Akron, Ohio.
6	Q Did you fly here for the trial just?
7	A No. I have friends. I was visiting
8	friends.
9 .	Q What is your occupation?
10	A I am a management assistant for
11	Prudential Insurance.
12	Q How long have you been with Prudential?
13	A Since April of 1985.
14	Q Was there ever a time you worked for
15	Prudential in Florida?
16	A Yes. I worked in Florida from May of
17	1988 until December of 1996.
18	Q How do you know Theresa Schiavo?
19	A Theresa and I worked together at St.
20	Pete Prudential Insurance office.
21	Q When did you meet Terri?
22	A In May of '88.
23	Q Was Terri already working there?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Describe what you did for Prudential.

ĩ,

A At the time I transferred to the St. Petersburg, Florida office, I worked at the public counter assisting the clients coming into the office to make premium payments or beneficiary changes. Any type of service work. And I did other functions in the office.

Q What did Terri do for Prudential?

A Terri sat right beside me at that time. She also waited on the clients that came into the office and she had other responsibilities as well.

Q Did you socialize together?

A Yes. We did.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8 -

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q What kind of activities would you do together?

A Michael worked evenings and weekends. I know he worked on Saturday. We used to go shopping. I used to go and pick her up, as Michael monitored the amount of miles she put on the car, so I usually drove. We would go shopping, run errands, or go see her grandmother.

Q Was this the grandmother at Majestic Towers?

A Yes. It was.

Q How much time did you spend together in a given month on weekends?

We probably spent a couple Saturdays A 1 together a month depending what she had going on 2 in her life and what I had going on in my life. 3 Did you also socialize during week 0 4 nights? 5 On occasion, yes, we did, but not Α 6 usually. 7 How would you describe your friendship 0 8 during that time frame? 9 Theresa and I are the same age. We had 10 Ά. a lot of things in common. When I came to work 11 for Prudential. I think Michael had just left one 12 employer or was unemployed or recently gained 13 employment and they were having some financial 14 problems living on one income. And my husband, 15 shortly after, in the fall of 1988, he lost his 16 job as well. So we had different things we could 17 relate to. 18 Would you confide in each other about 19 0 these difficulties? 20

> A Yes. She was upset that she could not go anywhere. Mostly in the evening she stayed home. That is why on Saturdays we would go shopping. Not necessarily to buy anything, just for both of us to get out of the house. We would

21

22

23

24

25

have lunch usually somewhere and visit her grandmother.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Tell me about the visits to her grandmother.

A I don't know why her grandmother was in the nursing home, but she was very, you know, she usually was in bed when we were there. I don't recall her not being in bed. And she was fine. We talked to her. Theresa would talk to her about different things that was going on in her life or with the family. It was just idle conversation. You know, sometimes we spent an hour there. Sometimes more. Sometimes less. Depending on what other things we had to do during that day.

Q Did you see other residents at Majestic Towers?

A Yes. Her grandmother's room was in the nursing home quite a bit. I mean, you know, not right at the door, so we had to pass other rooms. And there were other people in her grandmother's room.

Q Could you describe the people you would pass by on the way to the grandmother's room visually?

A Some people were in wheel chairs. Some

people in their room. There was people that would moan. Some of the other people in her grandmother's room did not communicate with us and maybe they were sleeping. I don't know. Some people were -- never saw do anything but lay there. So I don't know what their situation was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Did you see any patients on ventilators or respirators?

MR. FELOS: Leading question, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

A I don't recall seeing people on ventilators and I don't recall seeing people on feeding tubes, but at that time I really didn't know what a feeding tube was.

Q (By Ms. Campbell) Were there any patients you saw with tubes in their nose?

A It's been so long ago, I honestly really concentrated on Theresa's grandmother and not on the other patients.

Q Were you aware of Theresa's medical health in 1988, 1989 time frame?

A Yes. As a matter of fact, we both went to the same gynecologist. Usually it seemed our appointments were right near each other. So she

would share, I have a doctor's appointment, and usually mine was like right around there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know she was having problems with her period. She said that is -- she had never gotten pregnant during her and Michael's marriage and she had never been on any form of birth control. That was something that she was talking to the doctor about.

After one of the visits, I know they were going to start performing tests to find out if the problem lied with her or Michael. They wanted to start with the tests on Michael, as I guess there was only one test that he needed to do, and then otherwise they would start doing several tests on Theresa. As far as I know, she told me that Michael did not want to do the test as he had to provide a semen sample.

Q To your knowledge, did Terri become pregnant during that time frame?

A No. Not to my knowledge.

Q Did Terri ever indicate she was trying to have children?

A She never indicated that she wanted children. She just indicated that she had never gotten pregnant and they were looking into

medically why that had not happened.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Were you ever around Terri and Michael together?

A There was a few occasions. A Saturday where I would pick Theresa up and I was around Theresa and Michael. There were, you know, they seemed like any normal couple. I remember one time. She had rather thin legs. Michael was laying on the floor looking at Theresa. We were both standing there getting ready to walk out the door. He told her her legs were skinny.

Q To your knowledge, did Terri have a weight problem?

A No. The time I knew her she was very thin. She had shared with me at one time she was very heavy and she had lost a lot of the weight and she was very proud of her accomplishment and the weight loss.

Q When was the last time that you spoke to Terri?

A The last time I spoke to Terri was February 24th of 1990. It had been a big joke that week at work because she was going for a hair appointment on Saturday and she had dyed her hair blond. Her hair was normally blond, although she

naturally had very dark hair. She had to decide whether or not she wanted to stay a blond or if she was going to go back to her natural color.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25 So I called her Saturday afternoon and asked her, well, are we a blond or brunette? She said I'm still a blond. But she was very, very upset when I was talking to her. It sounded like she had been crying. I asked her if she was okay. She said she had a fight with Michael. That he was extremely upset with her because she had spent, I think she told me \$80, on her hair that day to stay blond:

So I asked her if she wanted me to come over. She didn't seem like her normal, jovial self. She said that's okay. I'm going over to Bobby's. I said are you sure. She was very upset. She said I'm going to Bobby's. I already talked to him and am going to go over as soon as we get off the phone.

Q How long of a time frame were the two of you in this close friendship there?

A I'd say I started there in May of '88. It takes a little time to form a friendship. I'd say for a good year we were pretty good -- very close friends. We shared different things about

our lives.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 :

21

22

23

24

25

Q When did you first hear then about Terri's incident?

A I received a phone call on, early Sunday morning, February 25th, from Murial Westrom, a lady we worked with. 'She informed me Theresa collapsed at her home and she was at the hospital and she had to be taken by paramedics to the hospital and that she wasn't doing very well. And she told me which hospital it was. I'm sorry, but I don't recall. I don't know if I went over that day or the next day.

Q What happened when you went to the hospital?

A Thère were quite a few people there. Family members. Michael. Michael's family, I believe were there. The Schiavos. The Schindlers. People from work. Theresa was well liked. There were several of us sitting there. I was trying to understand what had transpired. Michael had, I guess, found her on the floor in the bathroom.

I knew that he was a restaurant manager and figured he knew CPR. I asked him, I said, well, do you know CPR? He said yes. I said did

¢

you perform it on Theresa? He said no. He had panicked.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q How often did you visit Terri in those early days?

A In the early days, I was there for the first month or two every evening after work.

Q Did you continue to visit Terri frequently?

A Yes. I did. There was a period that I, you know, did not go quite as often. For the first month or two, I was there every day. After that, it may have been like a couple of times a week.

Q Were you aware of any fund raising to help with Terri's expenses?

A YE. Things going on in the county. I don't recall but I know there were different things going on, maybe like a carwash. But Prudential, we were so upset by what happened, we sent letters out to all the other Prudential offices requesting assistance for one of our fellow employees. And we got in trouble for that, but we did it anyway. I don't know how much money was raised. I think it went to a bank, but I don't know the amount that was raised.

Q Did you attend the trial in this case? The malparctice trial?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes. I attended the malpractice trial. A few other people from work also attended to tell what kind of person Theresa was, and she was a loss to the company, and you know, to her family and friends.

One thing that did occur during that trial, my husband was in the hospital having a heart cauterization and I had to go down there as soon as I left the courtroom, as soon as I testified, and the malpractice attorney followed me down to the pay phone and said to me, you know, it wouldn't help the case at all if I told them that Theresa and Michael were talking about getting a divorce. I turned to him and I said if I'm asked that question and that is the correct answer, that is the answer I'm going to give.

Q Did you believe that Michael and Terri were getting a divorce?

A There had been several times throughout Theresa's and my friendship that she was extremely mad at Michael. That there was a lot of mental abuse.

MR. FELOS: Your Honor, objection. That

is a conclusion on the part of the witness.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Yeah, it is, coming up at an odd time in her testimony. She is in '92 now. Now all of a sudden she's getting back because of a, of something a lawyer said. I'm going to sustain the objection.

A Okay. I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question?

Q (By Ms. Campbell) Okay. Was there, when you are saying that -- tell the Court if there were any specific examples of instances with you and Terri concerning an issue of concern between the marriage.

A When Theresa and I worked together, we sat side by side. There were days that if Michael were to call into the office, she did not want to take his phone call because they were fighting about a -- specifically, there was a period of time where Michael was not employed and they were living at the Schindlers' condo.

Theresa told me that if it had not been for her parents and their condo, she didn't know where they would live because they could not afford to pay rent right now. And Michael, when he was employed, and I don't recall which employer

it was, but he was very upset with the employer and things that were going on there, and he constantly would call her and threaten to quit his job. And Theresa was begging him to just look for something else and then quit when he had something else. And he didenot do that because he quit that employer. He was no longer employed. Do you know whether or not Terri 0 specifically was seeking to get a divorce? She had talked about it on several Α occasions. As a matter of fact, we had talked about living together, as my husband was very controlling to me and he asked for, my husband asked for a divorce also. So you went through a divorce? 0 15 Yes. Α 16 Was that after Terri's accident? 0 17 Yes. It was. А 18 When did you move away from Fldrida? Ö 19 December of 1996. Α 20 How frequently did you see Terri prior Q 21 to you leaving? 22 I probably -- during 1996, I probably A 23 only saw her a few times. It was not as 24 frequently as it had been in the beginning. 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

812

¢

Q What were your observations of Terri then?

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

A Terri always responded when I went to see her. I would come in and say Terri, it's Jackie. How are you? I would startle her and I learned not to do that. She would just jump a little bit. Then I would talk to her if I was standing by her bed.

And in talking to her, her eyes would always look at me. There would be times that she seemed to be a little tense with her arms up like this. And when I would talk to her and tell her who I was, it seemed as though her arms would relax and move down during our visit.

Q Did you notice any other specific reactions or changes in her facial expressions?

A Sometimes it was she would make sounds depending on maybe what I would said to her. Maybe, my opinion, as if to communicate with me. There were times, too, that I would try to get a reaction out of her to see if I felt that she was really, you know, understanding that I was there.

Whether she was -- well, before she would joke around that when Michael was not working that she was supporting him. Now that she

was in the hospital, because of her years of service with the company, she would still continue for a period of time to receive her paycheck. Actually, Michael would have received it. I used to say things to her like, Theresa, you're still supporting Michael.

It was as if she tensed up. I would do things like that to see if she was really responding to something I was saying.

Q. Did you believe she was understanding what you were saying?

A Yes. It was my impression.

Q Did you think she was aware of your presence?

A I definitely believe she was aware of my presence. There were times, too, that another person I worked with might go with me to the nursing home. One of us would stand on one side of the bed sometimes and the other stand on the other. It was as if she followed us. If I was talking to her on this side, she would be turning this way, and the other person would talk and she would, as if she turned her head the other way to acknowledge both our presence.

25

Q.

1

2

з

4

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

When was the last time you saw Terri?

A I went to see her Monday night.

Q Did you notice any difference from before in '96? I guess I should ask, have you seen Terri from '96 until now?

A NO.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Did you notice any differences from the last time in '96; that you saw her versus now?

A She still responded to me. I did not notice any change. She still looked at me. When I got there, she had been, one of the people had been cleaning her up they said. I had to wait a few minutes to get in there. Her arms were up like this. I went in.

I said, hey Terri, it's Jackie. I know it's been a while, but I'm here to visit and see how you're doing. I was rubbing her leg. Her arms went down as I was rubbing her leg. Her arms went down and she pulled the blankets off her. I covered her up. She seemed to be more relaxed when I was there.

Q Did you notice any change in her facial expression on this visit?

A She still looked at me when I talked to her. She did look away when I stopped talking. But I didn't -- you know, that is what I noticed

before.

1

2

3

4

5

6

. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q On this past Monday, did she make any sounds to you one way or the other?

A Before I went into the room, while the person was cleaning her up and getting her dressed, she was very loud. Making a lot of sound. Noises. When I went in there, there was a couple of times when I would say, you know, that I have talked to Robbie or mentioned somebody that we worked with, that she had made sounds as if to acknowledge the person that I was talking about.

Q Did you ever discuss any thought's Terri had on end of life issues?

A That never was discussed between Terri and myself. I know that when we were in the nursing home, there were so many people in there in various stages of condition that I truly feel that --

MR. FELOS: Your Honor, I object. She said she never discussed it with Theresa and she is making conclusions of what Theresa may have thought because Theresa was in a nursing home. That is sheer guessing and speculation.

THE COURT: I think that is probably true.

(By Ms. Campbell) When you were in the 1 0 nursing home, did Terri make any comments to you 2 in any manner about the residents that were there? 3 Theresa never said during our visits 4 Α that she would not want to live like this. 5 6 Did she ever make any comments that --0 regarding the specific conditions that you 7 8 witnessed together? 9 No. А MS. CAMPBELL: I have no further 10 questions at this point. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. Cross-12 13 examination? MR. FELOS: Yes, Your Honor. 14 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FELOS: 16 Is it Ms. Rhodes? 17 0 18 Α Yes. 19 0 You have not remarried? No. Not yet. 20 Α How are you feeling right now? 21 0 I'm fine. 22 Α 23 My impression is that your voice sounds Q 24 a little agitated to me. Are you feeling a little agitated right now? 25

1 A No, Théresa never said when she was in the 2 0 nursing home that she did not want to live like З this; correct? 4 5 А Correct. Did Theresa ever say I want to live like 6 0 7 this? No. She did not. 8 Α As I understand it, you did not come 9 0 down here for the trial. I think that was the 10 11 first thing you were asked. But you happened to be here visiting friends? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 Why did Pam Campbell agree to reimburse 0 15 you for airfare if you just happened to be down here visiting friends? 16 17 I have friends down here. I had Α 18 intentions of coming down here, and when I found out this trial was going on, I was coming down 19 here. I decided to come down earlier, rather than 20 later in the year. 21 So you decided to have Pam Campbell pay 22 Q 23 for it? Actually; I paid for it. 24 A 25 And you have an agreement with Ms. 0

Campbell that you be reimbursed; is that correct? 1 It has been mentioned to me that I will Δ 2 be reimbursed for it, but I have not asked for the 3 reimbursement. 4 I asked you, in your deposition on Page 5 0 15, Line 2, do you have an agreement with anyone 6 to be reimbursed for your expenses? 7 Answer. Pam Campbell did state to me 8 they will reimburse me for my flight. 9 Yes. That is correct. I did say that. Ά 10 Let's get at it. You came here to 11 Ö testify at this trial; didn't you? 12 That was one of the reasons why I came. Α 13 Okay. It's not that you were happening. 0 14 to visit friends and you were down here? 15 NO. Ά 16 We heard a litany in your testimony 0 17 about Michael did this, Michael did that, and 18 Terri told me about the hair and Michael was angry 19 at this. We were both going to get a divorce and 20 talking about living together. One after another, 21 after another, after another. Do you have an axe 22 to grind against Mr. Schiavo? 23 No. I do not. Michael was always very A 24 nice to me whenever I had any --25

	820
1	Q You were having marital difficulties at
2	the time; were you not?
3	A I was going through counseling. Yes.
4	Q I recall in your depositon, and we can
5	find it, didn't you describe your husband as
6	antisocial?
7	A Yes. He only liked to do things with
8	his friends. He didn't like to do things with my
9	friends.
10	Q Is it possible you may be projecting
11	some of your own marital difficulties at the time
12	on Terri's and Mike's marriage?
13	A No.
14	Q Do you have a current recollection of
15	these events that you testified to today?
16	A Yes. I do.
17	Q Is it a firm recollection?
18	A Yes. It is.
19	Q What I don't understand is this. I took
20	your deposition
21	A On January 12th.
22	Q Yeah. I guess your recollection is very
23	good. A couple of weeks ago. I was very specific
24	in asking you what things you talked to Terri
25	about and what you two confided about. I'll read
	• • •

from your deposition rather extensively. It's important here.

On Page 15, Line 14, you mentioned that you would each share things that were going on in your life. You mentioned one of the things that Terri shared was that she was bored in the evening because her husband worked evenings.

Answer: Um-hmm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

Question: Any other things that you can recall that Terri shared with you about her life? Things that were happening in her life?

Answer. They were talking about having, she was going to the same gynecologist that I was and she did -- she had not gotten pregnant and I guess they were going to try to have a child.

You talk on Page 16 about the gynecology. The pregnancy. The children. Then I go on right after that on Page 16, Line 21,

Question. Are there any other subjects that you can recall Terri talked to you about besides the one you mentioned?

Answer. There would be times that Michael would call her at work and you know be upset and want to quit his job and she would become upset. So I would talk to her about that,

as my husband would do the same thing to me. So we could relate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CAMPBELL: Objection to this line of questioning. So far the testimony he is reading is the same testimony she gave this morning.

THE COURT: With one exception. My notes say Michael called constantly. What he just read, the quote I wrote down is at times.

MR. FELOS: It's the next question, Your Honor. After the one about conversations about the job.

Q Question. Anything else you can think of as far as things that Terri, things happening in Terri's life that she discussed with you?

Answer. I really can't remember. It's been so long.

Can you tell me why two weeks ago I asked you specifically to tell me the things that Terri told you about in her life and you told me about Mike calling up, being upset about his job. You told me about the gynecologist. You'told me she was upset about his working evenings, and that was it. You could not recall anything else.

Now, two weeks later, you come in here and have a long time to think Michael has done

this and that. Terri told me about a divorce. We were going to live together. Have an apartment. How is it you recall all these things, but you did not tell me that two weeks ago?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 - 25

А

No.

A When I had the deposition, if you recall, I was subpoenaed to give my deposition on I believe it was Friday, January 7th. I could not, as I had a very important business meeting that I had to attend, and for several weeks prior to my deposition I was working several hours a day.

By the time -- I work an hour away from where I live. By the time I get home, it was 9 o'clock. Basically, I would go to bed. This happened for several weeks. Probably a month. I did not have time to think about anything but my project I was doing at work.

After my deposition, I sat down to myself and I thought what else do I remember about my friendship and times I spent with Theresa.

Q Well, a marriage dissolving and Terri maybe living with you is something you did not recall, it was something you did not recall at your deposition?

Are you saying at the time of your Q deposition that was not in your memory? Like I said, I was very concentrated on A my work. I did not have an opportunity to really think back and recall every instance and every situation that Terri and I talked about. Now we had some testimony about going to the nursing home. I think you were asked the condition of the other people in the room of Terri's grandmother? Um-hmm. А What was your answer about that? You 0 described some conditions? That they were, the other people were in Α bed. I don't recall ever talking about the other people. I don't recall if anyone was on a feeding tube or life support of any sort. So you don't recall the condition of the σ other patients in Terri's grandmother's room; is that correct? There were three other people in Terri's A grandmother's room. I don't recall. I know some people just laid there and we never saw them out of bed. But I don't recall if they were on any, you know, oxygen or feeding tube or anything.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Is it fair to say that you don't recall the condition of the other patients in Terri's grandmother's room?

A Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

Q Let's talk about Terri's condition. As I understand it, you believe that -- well, repeat it for me. Why do you believe that Terri has cognizance, awareness?

A Because when I speak to her, she looks at me. She seems to relax. When I am in the room talking to her, she remembers me.

Q Hold on. What do you observe that leads you to the conclusion that Terri remembers you?

A She relaxes. When I go -- as in Monday night when I went into the room she was like this (indicates). I started talking to her and her arms, it was as if her body relaxed. Her face was just calm. She seemed to be somewhat agitated when I got into the room after the gentleman was fussing, cleaning her up. Probably moving her around. She seemed to be very tense.

Then when I went in there and started talking to her, her arms fell down to lower, like around her waist. She looked at me. Her mouth moved. She made some noises and she looked at me

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	82 when I was talking. When I stopped talking, she
2	did look away.
3	Q When you said she looked, do you mean
4	her eyes moved?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Not her head?
7	A She was laying on her side, so it was
. 8	not probably as easy for her to move her head.
9	Q Okay. So a change in facial expression?
10	A Right.
11	Q Sounds?
. 12	A Yes.
13	Q Eyes moving?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Head turning?
16	A No head turning. And change in
17	body movement.
18	Q In all your visits besides the ones you
19	describe, are there any things that Terri does
20	that leads you to believe that she is aware of
21	your presence?
22	A I believe all those things make me
23	believe she is aware of my presence.
24	Q Is there anything else you have seen on
25	other visits?

A No. Not that I can recall.

Q Tell me the things about the blanket again. I didn't quite get that on your direct examination.

A On Monday night when I went in to see her, she had her hands up like this (indicates) and her blanket, I believe a sheet and flannel type sheet, were up around her neck like this. When she moved her hands down like this (indicates), her blanket also came down.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

A That was due to the movement of her hands.

Q Before your last visit to Terri, how often would you visit her when you lived in Florida, of course?

A The Sabal Palms was fairly close to my home. I would stop in there probably less than once a month, but I would stop in, you know, on occasion.

Q How about when Terri's first -- the incident first happened, did you see her more often?

A I was there for the first month or two, I was there every evening. After that, it was

probably a few times a week.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 '

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. Now you can't say that for every visit you believe Terri has been aware of your presence; can you?

A There was some type of reaction. Yes. Each visit that I saw her.

Q So in the month or two after the incident, you believe Terri was aware of your presence because she exhibited these reactions?

A Yes.

Q And there has been no visit where you have not observed the reactions?

A It may not have been all of them, but there were some of them. Yes.

Q Well, we have had testimony in this trial, I have to say in some rare unanimity, we have had no dispute from Mr. Schindler, from Mrs. Schindler, from Mr. Schiavo, that in the first few months of Terri's incident that she was unresponsive. Mrs. Schindler said no response. No awareness for Terri. Mr. Schindler, no response. No awareness. She was on a respirator.

It was only afterwards that they noticed, her own parents noticed a response. Some response. But your testimony is that you visited

e ·

her right after the accident, daily initially, and you saw responses of Terri that made you believe that she was aware of your presence. Is that your testimony?

A Yes. But in the beginning, we were not all allowed in to see Terri. I may not have seen her every visit that I was down there.

Q Okay. But the visits at the hospital where you did see Terri?

A Um-hmm --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q -- in the month or two after her accident, according to your testimony, she made these responses and you believe she was aware of your presence?

A Yes.

Q Do you have any suggestion or explanation why her own parents did not get any response from Terri or see anything like that in the first couple of months after the accident?

A I was not always in the room with, you know -- sometimes I was in there by myself. I don't know what other people saw.

Q Okay. You mentioned the comments that again, Terri in the nursing home, never said I don't want to live like that. Will you agree that

there is nothing about the nursing home visits to Terri's grandmother which would shed light on Terri's intent?

A Would I agree?

Q Yes.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

A No. I think if she would not want to live like that she would have said this, you know, is a terrible life. I don't want to live like this.

Q Do you remember your deposition again? Page 31, Line 15, I asked, you, so there is nothing about the nursing home visits which would shed light on Terri's intent?

Answer. : No.

So the answer is no? There's no -there is no question, so the answer is no, there is nothing?

Answer. Right. No. There is nothing. I have no other questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Redirect?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. CAMPBELL:

Q When did you first arrive here for this visit to Florida?

On Saturday afternoon, January 22nd.

What have you been doing since Saturday 1 0 till now? 2 I have spent time with my friends, Scott A 3 and Molly Jones, and two little girls. 4 So you have been vacationing? 0 5 А Yeah. * 6 You are not working on any specific work 7 Q project? 8 No. 9 А Has coming back to the Tampa Bay area 10 0 reminded you of your days when you were here with 11 Terri? 12 Yes. 13 A So you have had time to think about you 0 14 and Terri's relationship? 15 Since not working and not stressed from 16 A 17 work, yes. You talked about when Terri looks at you 18 0 that she looks at you. Her eyes look at you. Can 19 you describe that look? 20 She looks at me and she just, her eyes 21 A stay focused on me, and in my opinion, it is that 22 she really remembers me. It seems as though 23 Monday night she tried to communicate with me. 24 Would you describe it as a blank stare? 0 25

A No.

1

2

3

4

6

.7

8

9

10

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Have you ever been to visit Terri, either this past Monday night or in the '96/'95 time frame, gone with Mr. and Mrs. Schindler to visit Terri?

A No.

Q Have you ever seen the reaction then that Terri has with other people on a regular day-to-day basis that take care of Terri, like Mrs. Schindler?

A No.

MS. CAMPBELL: 1 have no further # questions.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. FELOS: Nothing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You may stand down.

MS. CAMPBELL: Is it permissible for this witness to remain in the courtroom?

THE COURT: Any need of this witness to be excluded? Ma'am, you may stay in the courtroom, but the rule is invoked. You are not to discuss your testimony with other witnesses with regard to this case until all the testimony has been concluded.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You are free to talk to the lawyers, but that is all.

MS. CAMPBELL: I have no further witnesses. We rest at this time.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Felos, you still intend to proceed with rebuttal?

MR. FELOS: Yes. Before that, I would like to introduce into evidence the Quinlan newspaper articles, which have been already marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 8 for identification.

THE COURT: Is there an objection? MS. CAMPBELL: No. I thought that had already been done.

MR. FELOS: They were marked during your case, but I could not introduce them during your case.

MS. CAMPBELL: Okay.

(THEREUPON, PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 8 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)

THE COURT: Let's take about 10 minutes and then start on Mr. Felos's cross. THE BAILIFF: All rise. Circuit court is in recess for ten minutes.

(THEREUPON, A 10 MINUTE RECESS WAS HAD AT 10:40 A.M. AND THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD AT THE BENCH.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11.

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Let's get the ground rules for rebuttal in place. It's my understanding in rebuttal that you go after a specific statement. Witness X said the light was green. Witness, what color was the light? The light was red. We are not going back into a narrative. As I understand rebuttal, we don't take off and testify to a series of events. Is that -- is your understanding differently?

MR. FELOS: A little different, Your Honor. The testimony that we have had in the respondent's case is so broad. Especially on the question of the ward's awareness. We have had how many people say she is aware of my presence. We have the videotape shown as evidence of her awareness. The testimony with Dr. Barnhill, I would say, would be a little bit more extensive.

THE COURT: I will not let Dr. Barnhill recapitulate what he said the other day. That is rebuttal; isn't it? He can testify as to what has come up on respondent's case in chief. I have extensive notes from him. If he gets back into

what he testified to before, I'll cut you off.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am telling you this because I don't want to embarrass you. That is why we are at the bench and not in front of your client. Because Mrs. Schindler said this is in response to my voice, he can testify that is a reflex action; that is not. It's in response but in a cognitive response hypothetically. I don't know what you intend to do.

If Mr. Schindler had said she tied my shoes, he can say that is -- however he wants to address that. She could not tie his shoes. But he is not going to -- you are not going to lead him through that direct again.

MR. FELOS: Do you -- we don't want to hear that again.

THE COURT: We are not.

MR. FELOS: . Certainly, I think it's proper to ask the question, since this tape of the ward has been introduced in evidence, for the conclusion that the ward has awareness, have you viewed the tape and can you please comment on this tape to rebut that assumption.

THE COURT: That limited area is probably appropriate, but I will not let him go

back and talk about what her brain cavity looks 1 2 like and this sort of stuff. 3 MR. FELOS: I understand that. THE COURT: And sur rebuttal is the 4 Your witnesses commenting on what the 5 same. 6 rebuttal witnesses said. 7 MS. CAMPBELL: Yes. MR. FELOS: Dr. Barnhill is the only 8 witness I can think of where his testimony might 9 10 be long.' Every other witness they said that is 11 true. This witness on respondent's case said 12 that -- is that true. Which will be very brief. 13 THE COURT: Okay. We can hopefully get 14 done at a reasonable hour today. MR. FELOS: 'I believe the Court is on --15 16 I saw a note of where Mr. Sheehan is one of the 17 rebuttal witnesses. THE COURT: I don't read your mail. I 18 saw it, but I don't read your mail. 19 20 MR. FELOS: He stated he is in . Brooksville and he could be here late afternoon. 21 THE COURT: I still don't understand 22 what his dismissal with prejudice has to do with 23 what I need to decide today. 24 25 MR. FELOS: At a minimum, Your Honor, it

goes to rebut the testimony of Mr. Schindler.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, if he said he was wearing a blue suit on Sunday and really was wearing a green suit on Sunday that is not going to affect the outcome of this case.

MR. FELOS: It is not just credibility, Your Honor. This is at the point of additional substance. I mean --

THE COURT: All right. If you think it has some real merit, fine. I am just telling you what I need to decide is the intent of this young lady and has it been established by clear and convincing evidence. Whether he gets 780,000 or whether they get 700,000, I am not sure what that has to do -- I'll focus in and I'll ask your reporter to give me a transcript of the three witnesses who testified to discussions with her, so I have those when I am making my decision, but I don't know where all this other stuff plays in.

MR. FELOS: Your Honor, I did want to make a comment. The standard as to the conversations is one of reliability. The overall evidence is a clear and convincing evidence standard.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FELOS: That the Court need only find the conversations as to intent reliable. That would be our leggl argument.

THE COURT: I either believe a witness or I don't. I mean, that is the standard.

MR. FELOS: The other thing, Your Honor, is if the Court, and we don't believe it is the case obviously, but if the Court did not find evidence of intent, it has been our argument in the pleadings and opening statement that the Court also has the authority to grant the petition, if it rules it's in the best interests of the ward.

THE COURT: I'm going to need to see some law on that, Mr. Felos.

MR. FELOS:. We intend to present some. I can have -- Mrs. Felos will be doing the rebuttal testimony of Dr. Barnhill. If I can have a moment to impart the Court's discussion to her, I'd appreciate it.

THE COURT: Absolutely.

MS. CAMPBELL: Is it your belief you will finish with rebuttal today?

MR. FELOS: No question about that. MS. CAMPBELL: Thank you. Start on

closings tomorrow?

1

2

3

4

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20 21

THE COURT: If that is what you prefer to do, come tomorrow morning and do closings, no matter what time we shut down today? I need to be out at 5:00 today.

MS. CAMPBELL: Or as long as he is finishing his rebuttal, that is my preference, to come back tomorrow morning and do closings.

MR. FELOS: If Mr. Sheehan can't be here until late afternoon, I obviously can't look to have his testimony completed today.

THE COURT: We can do the same for you as those witnesses. We can do him tomorrow, but before we leave here today, we have locked in place a schedule that you all can live with and we will know. If it's better to come tomorrow and do closings, we can do that. If you need the morning to prepare, I have you guys blocked out on my calendar for all week. However your schedules fit into that, you work with that.

(THEREUPON, THE BENCH CONFERENCE ENDED AT 11:05 A.M.)